



---

Year: 2016

---

## EANO guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of meningiomas

Goldbrunner, Roland; Minniti, G; Preusser, M; Jenkinson, M D; Sallabanda, K; Houdart, E; von Deimling, A; Stavrinou, P; Lefranc, F; Lund-Johansen, M; Moyal, E C; Brandsma, D; Henriksson, R; Soffiatti, R; Weller, M

**Abstract:** Although meningiomas are the most common intracranial tumours, the level of evidence to provide recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of meningiomas is low compared with other tumours such as high-grade gliomas. The meningioma task force of the European Association of Neuro-Oncology (EANO) assessed the scientific literature and composed a framework of the best possible evidence-based recommendations for health professionals. The provisional diagnosis of meningioma is mainly made by MRI. Definitive diagnosis, including histological classification, grading, and molecular profiling, requires a surgical procedure to obtain tumour tissue. Therefore, in many elderly patients, observation is the best therapeutic option. If therapy is deemed necessary, the standard treatment is gross total surgical resection including the involved dura. As an alternative, radiosurgery can be done for small tumours, or fractionated radiotherapy in large or previously treated tumours. Treatment concepts combining surgery and radiosurgery or fractionated radiotherapy, which enable treatment of the complete tumour volume with low morbidity, are being developed. Pharmacotherapy for meningiomas has remained largely experimental. However, antiangiogenic drugs, peptide receptor radionuclide therapy, and targeted agents are promising candidates for future pharmacological approaches to treat refractory meningiomas across all WHO grades.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(16\)30321-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30321-7)

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-126703>

Journal Article

Accepted Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Goldbrunner, Roland; Minniti, G; Preusser, M; Jenkinson, M D; Sallabanda, K; Houdart, E; von Deimling, A; Stavrinou, P; Lefranc, F; Lund-Johansen, M; Moyal, E C; Brandsma, D; Henriksson, R; Soffiatti, R; Weller, M (2016). EANO guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of meningiomas. *Lancet Oncology*, 17(9):e383-e391.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(16\)30321-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30321-7)

## EANO Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Meningiomas

[Prof. Roland Goldbrunner MD<sup>1</sup>](#), [Giuseppe Minniti MD<sup>2</sup>](#), [Matthias Preusser MD<sup>3</sup>](#), [Michael D. Jenkinson MD<sup>4</sup>](#), [Kita Sallabanda MD<sup>5</sup>](#), [Prof. Emmanuel Houdart MD<sup>6</sup>](#), [Prof. Andreas von Deimling MD<sup>7</sup>](#), [Pantelis Stavrinou MD<sup>1</sup>](#), [Florence Lefranc MD<sup>8</sup>](#), [Prof. Morten Lund-Johansen MD<sup>9</sup>](#), [Prof. Elizabeth Cohen-Jonathan Moyal MD<sup>10</sup>](#), [Dieta Brandsma MD<sup>11</sup>](#), [Prof. Roger Henriksson MD<sup>12</sup>](#), [Prof. Riccardo Soffietti MD<sup>13</sup>](#), [Prof. Michael Weller MD<sup>14</sup>](#)

### Affiliations:

- <sup>1</sup> Center of Neurosurgery, Department of General Neurosurgery, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> Radiation Oncology Unit, Sant'Andrea Hospital, University of Rome Sapienza, Roma, and IRCCS Neuromed, Pozzilli, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Medicine I, Comprehensive Cancer Center Vienna, Medical University of Vienna, Austria
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, University of Liverpool and The Walton Centre, Liverpool, UK
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital San Carlos, Universidad Complutense and IMOncoology Madrid Arturo Soria, Area de Oncologia Radioterapica Robotizada – Cyberknife, Madrid, Spain
- <sup>6</sup> Service de Neuroradiologie, Hôpital Lariboisière, Paris, France
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Neuropathology, Institute of Pathology, University of Heidelberg, and, CCU Neuropathology German Cancer Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
- <sup>8</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Hôpital Erasme, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium
- <sup>9</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Bergen University Hospital and Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Bergen, Norway
- <sup>10</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, Institut Universitaire du Cancer de Toulouse Oncopole, Toulouse, France
- <sup>11</sup> Department of Neuro-Oncology, Netherlands Cancer Institute – Antoni van Leeuwenhoek, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- <sup>12</sup> Regional Cancer Centre Stockholm, and Department of Radiation Science & Oncology, University of Umea, Sweden.
- <sup>13</sup> Department of Neuro-Oncology, University and City of Health and Science Hospital, Turin, Italy
- <sup>14</sup> Department of Neurology, University Hospital & University of Zurich, Frauenklinikstrasse 26, CH-0891 Zurich, Switzerland

Corresponding Author:

Prof. Dr. Roland Goldbrunner

Department for General Neurosurgery

Center of Neurosurgery

University of Cologne

Kerpener Str. 72

50937 Cologne

phone: +49 221 478 82790

email: roland.goldbrunner@uk-koeln.de

## Abstract

This guideline provides background information and practical recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of [patients with](#) intracranial and spinal meningiomas. Although meningiomas represent the most common intracranial tumors, the level of evidence for recommendations that can be derived from the literature is low compared to other tumors. The meningioma task force of the European Association for Neuro-Oncology (EANO) assessed the literature available and composed a framework of best possible evidence-based recommendations for health professionals. The provisional diagnosis of meningioma is mainly made by magnetic resonance imaging. Definitive diagnosis including histological classification, grading, and molecular profiling requires a surgical procedure to obtain tumor tissue. In many elderly patients, observation is the best therapeutic option. If therapy is deemed necessary, standard treatment is gross total surgical resection including the involved dura. As an alternative, radiosurgery can be performed for small tumors or fractionated radiotherapy in large or previously treated tumors. Treatment concepts combining surgery and radiosurgery or fractionated radiotherapy are evolving allowing treatment of the complete tumor volume with low morbidity. Pharmacotherapy for meningiomas has remained largely experimental. However, anti-angiogenic drugs, peptide receptor radionuclide therapy and increasingly targeted agents are promising candidates for future pharmacological approaches to refractory meningiomas across all WHO grades.

Keywords: meningioma, atypical meningioma, anaplastic meningioma, outcome, surgery, radiosurgery, radiotherapy, observation, embolization

## Introduction

Meningiomas are the most common primary intracranial tumors. Most meningiomas are WHO grade I lesions whereas a minority are classified as WHO grade II or even grade III lesions, based on local invasiveness and cellular features of atypia<sup>1</sup>. The vast majority of patients can be cured by surgery alone, notably patients with WHO grade I tumors at favorable locations. Beyond surgery, various approaches of radiotherapy are commonly used to increase local control, particularly if surgery alone seems not to be sufficient. In contrast, pharmacotherapy has so far assumed only a minor role in the management of meningiomas. Although management may appear to be fairly standardized across the globe, there are very few controlled clinical trials, resulting in a situation where standards of care are defined by local experience, long-standing traditional procedures, and experience-based practice. However, there are numerous situations where more than one approach appears feasible. For example, is there a need for intervention in case of incidental meningiomas of unclear growth kinetics? Furthermore, do all meningioma-suspect lesions require histological verification of the diagnosis? When is the right time and what is the right fractionation approach when radiotherapy is considered? How will medical therapy

← **Formatiert:** Keine Aufzählungen oder Nummerierungen

develop in the future and what is the role of molecular profiling? Defining standards of care and outlining answers to some of these difficult questions is the purpose of the present guideline prepared by a task force of EANO.

## Methods

The authors searched the following databases: the Cochrane Library from January 1990 until May 2016<sup>te</sup> date, the Medline databases from January 1990 to May 2016<sup>date</sup>, Embase-Ovid (January 1990 to May 2016<sup>date</sup>), Cancer Net, Science Citation Index. Sensitive and specific keywords as well as combinations of keywords were used. Only publications in English were considered, Publications in any language of the countries represented by this EANO task force were considered. Search started May 1<sup>st</sup> 2015 and finished May 16<sup>th</sup> 2016. The main keywords were meningioma, atypical meningioma, anaplastic meningioma, outcome, surgery, radiosurgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, observation, embolization, Simpson in various combinations.

The literature available was evaluated and the scientific evidence was sorted into classes I-IV and recommendations were rated at level A-C, according to EFNS guidelines<sup>2</sup>. When sufficient evidence for recommendations was not available, the task force offered advice as "good practice point".

## General recommendations

Recommendations for the diagnostic and therapeutic management of meningioma patients in general, including epidemiology and clinical presentation, pathogenesis and risk factors, diagnostic procedures, therapeutic decision making, surgical and radiotherapeutic approaches as well as pharmacotherapy are summarized in the appendix. WHO grading is displayed in table 1<sup>3</sup>.

## Specific recommendations

Recommendations for therapeutic management of meningiomas of WHO grades I-III are outlined in figure 1.

### Meningioma WHO grade I

Formatiert: Schriftart: 12 Pt., Fett

Formatiert: Abstand Vor: 30 Pt., Nach: 12 Pt., Abstand zwischen Absätzen gleicher Formatierung einfügen, Keine Aufzählungen oder Nummerierungen

Formatiert: Keine Aufzählungen oder Nummerierungen

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt., Durchgestrichen

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Kommentiert [RG1]: Referenz update

Meningiomas can be diagnosed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and additional computed tomography (CT) in most cases with high probability (figure 2)<sup>4</sup>. They usually present as solitary round tumors, with close contact to the dura mater and strong enhancement after contrast injection. The typical appearance of meningioma is isointense on T1 weighted imaging, iso- or hyperintense on FLAIR and with high and homogenous enhancement following gadolinium injection. ~~On T2-weighted imaging, the meningeal arteries can sometimes be seen as lines of low signal radiating from the center of the tumor (typical "sunburst" appearance).~~ Thickening of the dura mater at the perimeter of the tumor (so-called dural tail) is displayed by T1 with gadolinium<sup>5</sup>. CT is valuable for the detection of calcification within the tumor, hyperostosis of adjacent bone and intraosseous tumor growth, particularly in skull base meningiomas. Conventional cerebral angiography ~~is no longer used for~~ has no routine role in the diagnosis of meningioma, ~~but can be used as an adjunct to treatment planning in selected cases~~ it is only used for therapy planning in selected cases. Delineation of complex skull base meningiomas may be challenging. The expression of somatostatin receptor 2 of meningiomas can be used for discrimination from healthy tissue by using peptide ligands such as (68)Ga-Dotatate or (90)Y-Dotatoc as PET tracers<sup>6,7</sup>. Therefore, an improvement of diagnostic management of complex meningiomas can be expected in the near future.

Beyond neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF-2), several genes have been detected as frequently mutated in these tumors - for example KLF4 and TRAF7 are often mutated in secretory meningioma<sup>8</sup>. It is of interest that mutations in these genes are not randomly distributed in meningiomas but form groups with typical combinations of mutations and exclusion of other mutations. It is expected that a molecularly based classification will be developed and that this classification has the potential to direct individualized meningioma-specific therapy (tables 2 and 3). ~~More relevant, p~~ Preliminary findings point to TERT mutations, irrespective of WHO grade, being an indicator for more aggressive growth in meningioma<sup>9,10</sup>. Molecular alterations associated with less favorable clinical courses are expected to develop as valuable adjuncts to tumor grading for identifying patients at higher risk for meningioma recurrence or progression. Additional work to correlate molecular signatures with risk of tumor recurrence and prognosis is needed. ~~On the other hand, molecular screening should be able to offer to more reliably select and predict which patients will benefit from adjuvant~~ targeted therapy for a subset of patients.

Therapy of meningioma patients needs to be individualized due to the different nature of meningiomas and the potential consequences of different treatments to the patients. Many asymptomatic, incidentally discovered meningiomas can be managed by observation using annual clinical and MRI intervals, after an initial observation interval of 6 months<sup>11</sup>. There is no class I or II evidence to support guidelines for observational management of meningiomas, but there are numerous retrospective series and several reviews validating this concept<sup>12</sup> (evidence level III, recommendation level C). The suggestion to treat with surgery rather than observation should be based on a solid indication. Although surgery is for the majority of patients the only treatment needed, its long-term sequelae are often

overlooked; in a recent study it was shown that forty percent of the patients operated for a meningioma experienced cognitive or emotional problems following surgery<sup>13</sup>. If imaging is highly suggestive of meningioma, histological verification is not mandatory; however, it is recommended to exclude rare differential diagnoses like metastasis (recommendation level: good practice point). The diagnostic and therapeutic role of molecular profiling, which makes the availability of tumor tissue necessary, still needs to be established. If therapy is needed because of radiologically confirmed growth or presence of clinical symptoms, surgery is the therapy of first choice (evidence level II, recommendation level B). The aim of microsurgery is complete tumor removal (gross total resection, GTR) including involved dura corresponding to Simpson grade I resection. Extent of resection (EOR) is defined by the Simpson Grade (table 4) that relies on the surgeon's assessment at surgery and is an important prognostic factor for risk of tumor recurrence<sup>14</sup>. Even if the Simpson classification pre-dates modern neuro-imaging and several authors see a limited value, it still proved to be valuable for assessing the risk of recurrence in recent series<sup>12,15</sup>. Today, the intraoperative assessment of EOR should be confirmed by postoperative MRI that can be performed within 48 h after surgery or after 3 months to avoid artifacts. Preoperative embolisation is not generally recommended, however, it may facilitate surgery in selected cases (evidence level IV, recommendation level C).

As an alternative to surgery in elderly patients, for tumors not safely accessible by surgery, or after incomplete surgical resection, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) can be offered for in case of small tumors. A series of 35 retrospective studies showed a 5 year PFS of 86-100 percent after primary SRS<sup>16</sup>. If the tumor volume cannot be treated by a single fraction, fractionated radiotherapy (RT) with 50-55 Gy given in 1-8-2.0 Gy per fraction can be applied (evidence level III, recommendation level B). After RT, control rates of 75 to 92 %-percent are described in various series<sup>17-21</sup>. When RT is added to subtotal resection (STR), control rates and survival similar to GTR are reported<sup>22,23</sup>. In order to spare tumor-surrounding sensitive neurovascular structures and to diminish the well-known risk of long term cognitive deterioration, intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) and fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) are increasingly used, offering similar control rates with conventional RT<sup>24-26</sup>. In selected cases of small meningiomas, SRS allows a single application of 14-16 Gy<sup>27-32</sup>. The use of planned combination therapies consisting of STR or partial resection followed by SRS or RT allows treating the complete tumor whereas reducing the risk of treatment<sup>30</sup> (evidence level IV, recommendation level C). After therapy, annual MRI controls for 5 years are sufficient, followed by bi-annual controls. There are no strong data supporting the use of pharmacotherapy in meningiomas of WHO grade I<sup>33-36</sup>, but this may change soon with the recent identification of druggable mutations (see table 3 below)<sup>37</sup>. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) has shown some effect in small series and will be evaluated in clinical studies<sup>38-42</sup>. Key recommendations regarding therapy are summarized in Table 15.

## Meningioma WHO grade II

There are no clear radiological criteria to distinguish WHO grade I and grade II meningiomas. Exposure to ionizing radiation has been firmly linked to a higher risk for meningiomas and radiation-associated meningiomas are more likely to be atypical or malignant and multifocal<sup>43-47</sup>. ~~The presence of type 2 neurofibromatosis (NF2) implies a risk of developing malignant or multiple meningiomas, whereas the role of NF2 in developing grade II or III meningiomas is not clear.~~ ~~There are increasing data allowing the for a~~ molecular genetic characterization of atypic and malignant meningiomas accumulate, e.g., TERT mutations are associated with higher meningioma grades<sup>9,48</sup>.

Surgery is the first choice of treatment and should aim at a Simpson I resection (evidence level III, recommendation level B). The diagnosis of WHO grade II meningioma implies an increased risk of recurrence requiring shorter control intervals (6 months instead of annual, see below)<sup>49</sup>. The role of RT as an adjuvant therapy is still open. Retrospective series on adjuvant RT after GTR led to differing results and prospective data on adjuvant RT after GTR are missing<sup>50-56</sup>. The ROAM / EORTC 1308 trial ([SRCTN71502099](#)) is currently recruiting patients with newly diagnosed atypical meningioma (WHO grade II) who have undergone gross total resection (Simpson I-III) and will be randomized between early adjuvant radiotherapy (60 Gy in 30 fractions) and observation to determine whether RT radiotherapy reduces the risk of or delays tumor recurrence<sup>57</sup>. For incompletely resected tumors, adjuvant RT (54 to 60 Gy given in 1-8-2-0 Gy per fraction) should be considered (evidence level III, recommendation level C). In cases of progression, RT should be performed if not done following the initial surgery, with or without second surgery (evidence level III, recommendation level C). If the diagnosis of a WHO grade II or III is made, fractionated radiotherapy is preferred to ~~Stereotactic radiosurgery~~ RS techniques, although SRS offers similar results for small tumors or tumor remnants<sup>58-60</sup>. There are no data about PRRT in meningioma WHO grade II. ~~In any case, due to lack of definite data regarding adjuvant RT radiotherapy and considering the potential long-term effects of RT, discussion with the patient prior to any decision is mandatory. The patients should be informed about potential long-term toxicity, which can occur in up to 53% of cases after RT and a mean follow-up of 12 years~~<sup>23,61</sup>. Retrospective studies and small prospective studies have evaluated a range of drugs including hydroxyurea, cyclophosphamide/adriamycin/vincristine chemotherapy, interferon-alpha, megestrol acetate, medroxy-progesterone acetate, octreotide, sandostatin LAR, pasireotide LAR, imatinib, erlotinib, gefitinib, vatalanib, sunitinib and bevacizumab in WHO grade II and III meningiomas<sup>33</sup>. PFS-6 rates ranged from 0% to 64% and median OS times from 6 to 33 months in patients progressing after surgical resection and radiotherapy. The most promising results have been reported for bevacizumab, vatalanib and sunitinib, all drugs with anti-angiogenic properties<sup>33,62-66</sup>. These results need to be confirmed in prospective controlled trials, before clinical use of these compounds in WHO grade II and III meningiomas can be recommended. An ongoing EORTC phase II trial (NCT02234050) explores the efficacy of trabectedin, a tetrahydroisoquinoline that has shown promising activity in recurrent WHO grade II and grade III meningiomas<sup>67</sup>. Altogether,

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Kommentiert [RG2]: Ref 23 dazu!



pharmacotherapy ~~can~~ should be considered upon further progression in meningiomas WHO grade II (evidence level IV, recommendation level C).

### Meningioma WHO grade III

Anaplastic meningiomas often are more irregularly shaped and display a higher relative cerebral blood volume ~~than compared to~~ WHO grade I and II tumors<sup>4</sup>. ~~They~~ Meningiomas WHO grade III have a strong tendency to recur and may metastasize systemically. There is a high proportion of NF2 mutations in WHO grade III meningiomas (table 23), diffuse growth and invasion of the cortex is often described<sup>68</sup>. Surgical resection should be as radical as possible (evidence level III, recommendation level C) and needs to be followed by fractionated radiotherapy with at least 54 Gy in 1.8-2 Gy fractions (evidence level III, recommendation level B). Current clinical trials address the question of dose: In the RTOG 0539 trial, WHO grade II meningiomas are treated by RT with 54 Gy in 30 fractions after GTR, while “high risk meningioma” (i.e. WHO grade II recurrent disease, WHO grade II after STR resection and all WHO grade III) receive up to 60 Gy ([NCT00895622](#)). In the EORTC 22042-26042 trial ([NCT00626730](#)), WHO grade II and grade III tumors post GTR ~~we~~ are irradiated with 60 Gy in 30 fractions. After STR, 60 Gy plus a 10 Gy boost on the remaining tumor volume ~~we~~ are delivered. ~~Results are pending~~. Follow-up should be performed three months after initial therapy, then every 3 or 6 months, depending on initial growth kinetics. Pharmacotherapy options remain experimental (evidence level IV, recommendation level C) and only little data on the efficacy of antineoplastic drugs in WHO grade III meningiomas are available. No clinical trials have focussed on WHO grade III tumors, but small numbers of these neoplasms have been included in most studies together with WHO grade II tumors (see above). Consequently, no specific recommendations can be made for pharmacotherapy of ~~WHO grade III meningiomas and patients with this diagnosis should be enrolled into clinical trials whenever possible~~.

### Spinal meningiomas

Surgical resection to remove the tumor and decompress the spinal cord is the therapy of choice ~~for patients with~~ spinal meningiomas. The majority of data supports surgical strategies striving for completeness of excision. Recurrence rates of spinal meningiomas after surgical resection have been reported in the range of 1.3 – 14.7%<sup>69-72</sup>. There is consensus that incomplete resection is a risk factor for recurrence but it is unclear whether Simpson grade I resection achieves better long term outcome than Simpson grade II resection<sup>69,72-74</sup>. Most papers report lower recurrence rates after resection of the involved dura but at the cost of a higher complication rate, particularly for meningiomas located unfavorably or with severe calcification<sup>71</sup>. Therefore, Simpson grade I resection should be aimed for in all

cases of spinal meningioma with a favorable location, but only if this can be achieved without compromising neurological function and if a safe and uncomplicated dural repair is feasible (recommendation level: good practice point). For patients with ventrally located meningiomas or with calcified dural attachment, excision of the dura should not be the goal – coagulation of the dural attachment is sufficient. For the rare cases where (i) surgical resection cannot be performed for any reason, (ii) stopping tumor growth is the only aim of treatment and (iii) decompression of the spinal cord does not seem necessary, SRS or hypofractionated radiotherapy is an alternative to surgical resection<sup>75</sup> (recommendation level: good practice point). Adjuvant therapy is performed according to the WHO grade and resection status as stated above for cranial meningiomas.

### Surveillance and follow-up

Follow-up is needed to prevent future potentially irreversible neurological deficits and to find the optimal timing for potential (re-)intervention. There is no robust data on the best follow-up schedule for meningiomas, since most retrospective studies do not report on monitoring intervals and since prospective studies published so far had variable follow-up protocols, usually tailored to fit the treatment visits<sup>76,77</sup>. Therefore, the following recommendations are based more on expert consensus opinion rather than evidence (recommendation level: good practice point).

Follow-up should be performed clinically by an experienced neurosurgeon or neurooncologist and must be accompanied in special cases by additional specialists, e.g., if cranial nerve function is threatened. There is considerable variation in follow-up intervals possible depending not only from resection status, size and location of the tumor, but also age and the general and neurological status of the patient.

Our proposed approach for the management of small, asymptomatic meningiomas is to evaluate the dynamics of the tumor with MRI with contrast medium 6 months after initial diagnosis and then annually, as long as the patient remains asymptomatic. After five years this interval can be doubled. In patients with limited life expectancy due to high age or severe co-morbidities, controls may be omitted if the radiological diagnosis of a benign meningioma seems clear.

Monitoring after initial treatment depends on the EOR and grading of the tumor.

For WHO I meningiomas resected totally (GTR), the 10-year recurrence rates vary from 20-39%. **Studies with long follow-up with MRI show that recurrence is more common than previously thought**<sup>17,22,78</sup>.

Therefore, it is advisable to perform a baseline MRI within 48 hours or after 3 months, in order to assess the EOR. Thereafter, we propose annual MRI controls until five years post treatment, then every two years.

If resection is known to be incomplete, EOR should be documented by early postoperative MRI within 48 hours. For WHO grade I tumors after STR, the 10-year progression rates vary between 55 and 100% suggesting a more vigilant long-term follow-up<sup>78,79</sup>. For those cases, MRI at 6 and 12 months is recommended, then annually.

The natural history of WHO grade II meningiomas is less clear, ~~since the 2007 WHO criteria changed their definition and thus their identification rates~~. The 5-year recurrence/progression rates may be as high as 30% and 40% after GTR and STR, respectively<sup>50,51</sup>. In these tumors, we suggest an early postoperative MRI within 48 hours. Follow up MRI should be done every 6 months for 5 years, then annually.

~~For WHO grade III meningiomas are aggressive tumors with very poor local control, even after multimodal treatment. In the recent studies utilizing the WHO 2007 grading scheme, the 5-year-PFS ranged from 12 to 57%, even after resection and radiotherapy. Therefore, these tumors have to be followed up very closely<sup>80</sup>. After the initial, early post-treatment MRI, cranial imaging should be routinely done every 6 months, in rapidly growing cases every 3 months. Key recommendations for follow-up are summarized in table 45.~~

### Supportive care and patient management

~~Most meningiomas are diagnosed in asymptomatic patients<sup>11</sup> (Zitat 10). Patients with larger tumors may suffer from epilepsy, focal neurologic deficits, vascular complications, notably deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism, chronically raised intracranial pressure and neurocognitive impairment (Zitate 7-11 from appendix). Acute and long term treatment of these aspects of meningiomas problems and management of the patients affected is are similar to the treatment and management of patients with other intracranial tumors such as gliomas<sup>81-83</sup>. Guidelines for supportive care for these patients have been described elsewhere (Soffiotti, LGG 2010, Weller HGG, 2014).~~

### Future directions

~~There is promising progress ien several areas fields inof the diagnosis and therapy of meningiomas. The new WHO classification of tumors of the nervous system has refined the subclassification by morphology and grade for meningiomas, and recent high-throughput studies have defined novel driver mutations that are potentially druggable in subsets of tumors. A major challenge is comprehensive molecular genetic characterization of meningiomas of all grades. Genetic profiling will allow for individual estimation of prognosis and offer personalized, targeted therapies. Diagnosing the extension of complex meningiomas, e.g. pretreated intraosseous skull base tumors, still is challenging. As stated above, PET imaging using tracers binding to somatostatin receptor 2 like (68)Ga-Dotatate or (90)Y-Dotatoc is a promising technique~~

Formatiert: Nicht Durchgestrichen

Formatiert: Nicht Durchgestrichen

Kommentiert [RG3]: Zitat 10

Kommentiert [WM4]: plus:

Weller M, Stupp R, Wick W. Epilepsy meets cancer: when, why, and what to do about it? Lancet Oncol 2012;13:e375-e382

Kommentiert [RG5]: Wie gehabt

Kommentiert [WM6]: Das verstehe ich nicht – warum soll das „challenging“ sein?? „Pretreated“ heisst doch, dass man das Meningeom bereits diagnostiziert hat oder? Das war chirurgisch gedacht. So ist es hoffentlich klarer.

that will have an increasing role for delineation of these tumors and therapy planning. This imaging technique ~~may also find a place in the~~ ~~could (will?) also influence~~ RANO (~~response assessment in neuro-oncology~~) criteria for meningiomas that are currently ~~under development~~<sup>16</sup> ~~(Zitat Leland Rogers, 2015)~~. Surgical techniques and approaches are more and more refined in terms of individualized risk assessment. In case of skull base meningiomas, therapeutic concepts combining subtotal resection and radiosurgery will be used more often in order to minimize ~~the treatment risk for the patient~~. ~~Multiinterdisciplinary diagnosis and therapy of meningiomas planned in dedicated is an issue that will be increasingly discussed in brain tumor boards should become standard of care. An increasing number of prospective studies assessing efficacy and safety of established and novel systemic therapies are on their way.~~ These will help to generate higher levels of evidence for ~~future meningioma~~ treatment concepts and hopefully better outcomes for the minority of tumors that are still difficult to control.

Kommentiert [G7]: Bitte zitieren 16 !!

### **Conclusions**

The ~~general~~ levels of evidence for diagnosis and treatment ~~recommendations for patients with of~~ meningiomas are low, allowing only recommendations of level B, C and good practice points, ~~despite the relative frequency of such tumors. However, there are n~~ Numerous efforts to generate new evidence by prospective studies pointing at this currently unmet need in neurooncology ~~are ongoing~~. The key recommendations of the EANO task force which represent the state of knowledge of June 2016, are outlined in table 45.

### **Contributors**

All authors contributed in the preparation of the manuscript and literature search. All authors reviewed the paper and approved the final version. ~~EH provided figures. RG and MW developed the concept for the guidelines. RG composed the final draft.~~

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett, Schriftfarbe: Orange

### **Declaration of interests**

~~RG has received honoraria for advisory boards from MagForce, Bristol-Myers-Squibb and Roche. MJ is the CI of the ROAM trial investigating radiation versus observation following surgical resection in atypical meningioma and has received research grant from the NIHR-HTA. MP has received research grants from Böhlinger-Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, MSD and Roche and honoraria from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Novartis, Gerson Lehrman Group and CMC Contrast. MW has received research grants from Acceleron, Actelion, Isama, MSD, Merck Serono EMD, Novocure, Piqur and Roche and honoraria from Isama, MSD,~~

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett, Schriftfarbe: Orange

Merck Serono EMD, Novocure, Roche, Celldex, Immunocellular Therapeutics, Magforce, NorthwestW Biotherapeutics, Pfizer and Tewa. GM, KS, EH, AvD, PS, FL, MLJ, ECJM, DB, RH and RS do not have any conflicts to declare.

**Acknowledgements**

The preparation of this guideline was not funded. None of the authors received compensation for their participation. The corresponding author has full access to all data and takes the final responsibility for the decision to submit.

**Tables**

Table 1: 2016 WHO grading for meningiomas<sup>1</sup>

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett

Formatiert: Hochgestellt

WHO Grade	Description
Grade I	Low mitotic rate (less than 4 per 10 high power fields (HPF) Absence of brain invasion 9 subtypes
Grade II (atypical)	Mitotic rate: 4-19 per HPF, or brain invasion or 3 out of 5 specific histologies: spontaneous necrosis, sheeting, prominent nucleoli, high cellularity, small cell or clear cell or chordoid cell types
Grade III (anaplastic)	Mitotic rate: >20 per HPF or specific histologies: Papillary or rhabdoid meningioma

Table 2: Mutations in meningiomas<sup>27,28,30</sup>

	AKT1	KLF4	TRAF7	NF2	SMO	TERT
Meningothelial Meningioma WHO grade I	13%	-	8%	22%	16%	-
Transitional Meningioma WHO grade I	14%	-	5%	33%	-	-
Fibroblastic Meningioma WHO grade I	-	-	-	70%	-	-
Psammomatous M. WHO grade I Meningioma WHO grade I	-	-	-	60%	-	-
Secretory Meningioma WHO grade I	-	100%	100%	-	-	-
Lymphoplasmacyte-rich Meningioma WHO grade I	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data
Metaplastic Meningioma WHO grade I	25%	-	-	20%	-	-
Microcystic Meningioma WHO grade I	-	-	-	-	-	-
Angiomatous Meningioma WHO grade I	4%	-	-	10%	-	-
Atypical Meningioma WHO grade II	4%	-	4%	70%	-	6%
Chordoid Meningioma WHO grade II	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clear Cell Meningioma WHO grade II	-	-	-	50%	-	-
Anaplastic Meningioma WHO grade III	-	-	-	70%	-	20%
Rhabdoid Meningioma WHO grade III	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data
Papillary Meningioma WHO grade III	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data

Percentages, values <4% are given as "-"

Table 3: Possible targets for future therapies

Potential drug / drug class	Molecular target / biomarker
AKT inhibitor	AKT1 (p.Glu17Lys) mutation <sup>84,85</sup> 27,28
Hedgehog inhibitor	SMO (p.Trp535Leu) mutation 27,28,10,84
FAK inhibitor	NF2/merlin loss <sup>86,87,115,116</sup>
Immune checkpoint inhibitor	PD1-/PD-L1 <sup>88,117</sup>
VEGF or VEGFR inhibitor	VEGF/VEGFR2 <sup>63,89,90</sup> 105,118,119
<a href="#">PI3K inhibitors</a>	<a href="#">PI3K</a> <sup>91</sup>
Trabectedin	DNA, tumor-associated macrophages, angiogenesis <sup>109,67</sup>

Kommentiert [RG8]: Falsche Referenzen!!!

Kommentiert [RG9]: Idem!!!!

Kommentiert [RG10]: Die Referenzen stimmen nicht!!!!

Kommentiert [RG11]: Idem

Kommentiert [RG12]: Idem

Kommentiert [WM13]: Oncogenic PI3K mutations are as common as AKT1 and SMO mutations in meningioma  
Malak Abedalthagafi†, Wenya Linda Bi†, Ayal A. Aizer†, Parker H. Merrill†, Ryan Brewster, Pankaj K. Agarwalla, Marc L. Listewnik, Dora Dias-Santagata, Aaron R. Thorer, Paul Van Hummelen, Priscilla K. Brastianos, David A. Reardon, Patrick Y. Wen, Ossama Al-Mefty, Shakti H. Ramkissoon, Rebecca D. Folkerth, Keith L. Ligon, Azra H. Ligon, Brian M. Alexander†, Ian F. Dunn†, Rameen Beroukhim†, and Sandro Santagata†  
NEURO-ONCOLOGY in press

Table 4: Simpson grades of resection and corresponding EORTC/ROG definitions of extend of resection

Grade	Definition	Extent of Resection (EOR)
I	Gross total resection of tumor, dural attachment and abnormal bone	GTR
II	Gross total resection of tumor, coagulation of dural attachment	GTR
III	Gross total resection of tumor without resection or coagulation of dural attachments, or extradural extensions (e.g. invaded or hyperostotic bone)	GTR
IV	Partial resection of tumor	STR
V	Biopsy of tumor	

Formatiert: Nicht Durchgestrichen

Table 5: Key recommendations for the management of meningiomas.

<b>Diagnosis</b>
<u>The radiological diagnosis of meningioma should be made by MRI</u>
<u>Conventional angiography should be restricted to selected cases</u>
<u>Tissue for future molecular analysis should be stored if available</u>
<u>Histological verification of meningioma is not mandatory in all cases</u>
<b>Treatment</b>
<u>Asymptomatic patients may be managed by observation</u>
<u>If treatment is indicated in meningioma of any WHO grade, surgery is the first option</u>
<u>Complete removal (Simpson I) is the primary goal of surgery</u>
<u>The degree of resection should be confirmed by MRI</u>
<u>Embolisation should be restricted to selected cases</u>
<u>Radiosurgery may be the first option in small WHO grade I meningiomas in specific locations</u>

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatierte Tabelle

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Radiosurgery may be the first option in small WHO grade I meningiomas in specific locations

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Patients with meningioma WHO grade I that cannot be operated on can be treated by fractionated radiotherapy or radiosurgery.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Combining subtotal resection and radiosurgery or fractionated radiotherapy should be considered to allow comprehensive tumor treatment while reducing the risk of adverse effects from treatment in WHO grade I meningiomas.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Patients with incompletely resected meningiomas WHO grade II should receive fractionated radiotherapy.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Pharmacotherapy is experimental in any grade of meningioma and should only be considered if no further surgical or radiotherapy options exist.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

**Follow-up** ~~annually~~ yearly

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Follow-up of patients with WHO grade I meningiomas should be done yearly, after 5 years biannually.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Follow-up of WHO grade II meningiomas should be done every 6 months, after 5 years yearly.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 10 Pt.

Follow-up of WHO grade III meningiomas should be done every three to six months



## References:

1. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, et al. The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. *Acta neuropathologica* 2007; **114**(2): 97-109.
2. Brainin M, Barnes M, Baron JC, et al. Guidance for the preparation of neurological management guidelines by EFNS scientific task forces--revised recommendations 2004. *European journal of neurology* 2004; **11**(9): 577-81.
3. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Acta neuropathologica* 2016; **131**(6): 803-20.
4. Zhang H, Rodiger LA, Shen T, Miao J, Oudkerk M. Preoperative subtyping of meningiomas by perfusion MR imaging. *Neuroradiology* 2008; **50**(10): 835-40.
5. Takeguchi T, Miki H, Shimizu T, et al. The dural tail of intracranial meningiomas on fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery images. *Neuroradiology* 2004; **46**(2): 130-5.
6. Collamati F, Pepe A, Bellini F, et al. Toward radioguided surgery with beta- decays: uptake of a somatostatin analogue, DOTATOC, in meningioma and high-grade glioma. *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 2015; **56**(1): 3-8.
7. Rachinger W, Stoecklein VM, Terpolilli NA, et al. Increased 68Ga-DOTATATE uptake in PET imaging discriminates meningioma and tumor-free tissue. *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 2015; **56**(3): 347-53.
8. Reuss DE, Piro RM, Jones DT, et al. Secretory meningiomas are defined by combined KLF4 K409Q and TRAF7 mutations. *Acta neuropathologica* 2013; **125**(3): 351-8.
9. Goutagny S, Nault JC, Mallet M, Henin D, Rossi JZ, Kalamirides M. High incidence of activating TERT promoter mutations in meningiomas undergoing malignant progression. *Brain pathology (Zurich, Switzerland)* 2014; **24**(2): 184-9.
10. Sahm F, Bissel J, Koelsche C, et al. AKT1E17K mutations cluster with meningotheial and transitional meningiomas and can be detected by SFRP1 immunohistochemistry. *Acta neuropathologica* 2013; **126**(5): 757-62.
11. Vernooij MW, Ikram MA, Tanghe HL, et al. Incidental findings on brain MRI in the general population. *The New England journal of medicine* 2007; **357**(18): 1821-8.
12. Sughrue ME, Kane AJ, Shangari G, et al. The relevance of Simpson Grade I and II resection in modern neurosurgical treatment of World Health Organization Grade I meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2010; **113**(5): 1029-35.
13. van der Vossen S, Schepers VP, Berkelbach van der Sprenkel JW, Visser-Meily JM, Post MW. Cognitive and emotional problems in patients after cerebral meningioma surgery. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine* 2014; **46**(5): 430-7.
14. Simpson D. The recurrence of intracranial meningiomas after surgical treatment. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry* 1957; **20**(1): 22-39.
15. Gousias K, Schramm J, Simon M. The Simpson grading revisited: aggressive surgery and its place in modern meningioma management. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2016: 1-10.
16. Rogers L, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Meningiomas: knowledge base, treatment outcomes, and uncertainties. A RANO review. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2014: 1-20.
17. Condra KS, Buatti JM, Mendenhall WM, Friedman WA, Marcus RB, Jr., Rhoton AL. Benign meningiomas: primary treatment selection affects survival. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 1997; **39**(2): 427-36.
18. Dufour H, Muracciole X, Metellus P, Regis J, Chinot O, Grisoli F. Long-term tumor control and functional outcome in patients with cavernous sinus meningiomas treated by radiotherapy with or

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett, Deutsch (Deutschland)

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigte Staaten)

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigte Staaten)

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigte Staaten)

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigte Staaten)

without previous surgery: is there an alternative to aggressive tumor removal? *Neurosurgery* 2001; **48**(2): 285-94; discussion 94-6.

19. Goldsmith BJ, Wara WM, Wilson CB, Larson DA. Postoperative irradiation for subtotally resected meningiomas. A retrospective analysis of 140 patients treated from 1967 to 1990. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1994; **80**(2): 195-201.

20. Mendenhall WM, Morris CG, Amdur RJ, Foote KD, Friedman WA. Radiotherapy alone or after subtotal resection for benign skull base meningiomas. *Cancer* 2003; **98**(7): 1473-82.

21. Nutting C, Brada M, Brazil L, et al. Radiotherapy in the treatment of benign meningioma of the skull base. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1999; **90**(5): 823-7.

22. Soyuer S, Chang EL, Selek U, Shi W, Maor MH, DeMonte F. Radiotherapy after surgery for benign cerebral meningioma. *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2004; **71**(1): 85-90.

23. Taylor BW, Jr., Marcus RB, Jr., Friedman WA, Ballinger WE, Jr., Million RR. The meningioma controversy: postoperative radiation therapy. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 1988; **15**(2): 299-304.

24. Douw L, Klein M, Fagel SS, et al. Cognitive and radiological effects of radiotherapy in patients with low-grade glioma: long-term follow-up. *The Lancet Neurology* 2009; **8**(9): 810-8.

25. Combs SE, Adeberg S, Dittmar JO, et al. Skull base meningiomas: Long-term results and patient self-reported outcome in 507 patients treated with fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) or intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT). *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2013; **106**(2): 186-91.

26. Solda F, Wharram B, De Ieso PB, Bonner J, Ashley S, Brada M. Long-term efficacy of fractionated radiotherapy for benign meningiomas. *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2013; **109**(2): 330-4.

27. Colombo F, Casentini L, Cavedon C, Scalchi P, Cora S, Francescon P. Cyberknife radiosurgery for benign meningiomas: short-term results in 199 patients. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **64**(2 Suppl): A7-13.

28. Tuniz F, Soltys SG, Choi CY, et al. Multisession cyberknife stereotactic radiosurgery of large, benign cranial base tumors: preliminary study. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **65**(5): 898-907; discussion

29. dos Santos MA, de Salcedo JB, Gutierrez Diaz JA, et al. Long-term outcomes of stereotactic radiosurgery for treatment of cavernous sinus meningiomas. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2011; **81**(5): 1436-41.

30. Jung HW, Yoo H, Paek SH, Choi KS. Long-term outcome and growth rate of subtotally resected petroclival meningiomas: experience with 38 cases. *Neurosurgery* 2000; **46**(3): 567-74; discussion 74-5.

31. Song CW, Kim MS, Cho LC, Dusenbery K, Sperduto PW. Radiobiological basis of SBRT and SRS. *International journal of clinical oncology* 2014; **19**(4): 570-8.

32. Goldsmith B, McDermott MW. Meningioma. *Neurosurgery clinics of North America* 2006; **17**(2): 111-20, vi.

33. Kaley T, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Historical benchmarks for medical therapy trials in surgery- and radiation-refractory meningioma: a RANO review. *Neuro-oncology* 2014; **16**(6): 829-40.

34. Norden AD, Ligon KL, Hammond SN, et al. Phase II study of monthly pasireotide LAR (SOM230C) for recurrent or progressive meningioma. *Neurology* 2015; **84**(3): 280-6.

35. Ji Y, Rankin C, Grunberg S, et al. Double-Blind Phase III Randomized Trial of the Antiprogesterone Agent Mifepristone in the Treatment of Unresectable Meningioma: SWOG S9005. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2015; **33**(34): 4093-8.

36. Chamberlain MC, Tsao-Wei DD, Groshen S. Temozolomide for treatment-resistant recurrent meningioma. *Neurology* 2004; **62**(7): 1210-2.

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigte Staaten)

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigte Staaten)

37. Weller M RP, Sahn F, Burghardt I, Schuknecht B, Rushing ER, Regli L, Lindemann JP, von Deimling A. Durable control of metastatic AKT1-mutant WHO-grade I meningothelial meningioma by the AKT inhibitor, AZD5363. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2016.
38. Bartolomei M, Bodei L, De Cicco C, et al. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with (90)Y-DOTATOC in recurrent meningioma. *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging* 2009; **36**(9): 1407-16.
39. Kreissl MC, Hanscheid H, Lohr M, et al. Combination of peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with fractionated external beam radiotherapy for treatment of advanced symptomatic meningioma. *Radiation oncology (London, England)* 2012; **7**: 99.
40. Makis W, McCann K, McEwan AJ. Rhabdoid papillary meningioma treated with 177Lu DOTATATE PRRT. *Clinical nuclear medicine* 2015; **40**(3): 237-40.
41. Minutoli F, Amato E, Sindoni A, et al. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy in patients with inoperable meningiomas: our experience and review of the literature. *Cancer biotherapy & radiopharmaceuticals* 2014; **29**(5): 193-9.
42. Seystahl K, Stoecklein V, Schuller U, et al. Somatostatin-receptor-targeted radionuclide therapy for progressive meningioma: benefit linked to 68Ga-DOTATATE/-TOC uptake. *Neuro-oncology* 2016.
43. Al-Mefty O, Topsakal C, Pravdenkova S, Sawyer JR, Harrison MJ. Radiation-induced meningiomas: clinical, pathological, cytokinetic, and cytogenetic characteristics. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2004; **100**(6): 1002-13.
44. Preston-Martin S, Mack W, Henderson BE. Risk factors for gliomas and meningiomas in males in Los Angeles County. *Cancer research* 1989; **49**(21): 6137-43.
45. Rubinstein AB, Shalit MN, Cohen ML, Zandbank U, Reichenthal E. Radiation-induced cerebral meningioma: a recognizable entity. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1984; **61**(5): 966-71.
46. Sadamori N, Shibata S, Mine M, et al. Incidence of intracranial meningiomas in Nagasaki atomic-bomb survivors. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer* 1996; **67**(3): 318-22.
47. Sadetzki S, Chetrit A, Freedman L, Stovall M, Modan B, Novikov I. Long-term follow-up for brain tumor development after childhood exposure to ionizing radiation for tinea capitis. *Radiation research* 2005; **163**(4): 424-32.
48. Sahn F, Schrimpf D, Olar A, et al. TERT Promoter Mutations and Risk of Recurrence in Meningioma. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2016; **108**(5).
49. Marosi C, Hassler M, Roessler K, et al. Meningioma. *Critical reviews in oncology/hematology* 2008; **67**(2): 153-71.
50. Aghi MK, Carter BS, Cosgrove GR, et al. Long-term recurrence rates of atypical meningiomas after gross total resection with or without postoperative adjuvant radiation. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **64**(1): 56-60; discussion
51. Hammouche S, Clark S, Wong AH, Eldridge P, Farah JO. Long-term survival analysis of atypical meningiomas: survival rates, prognostic factors, operative and radiotherapy treatment. *Acta neurochirurgica* 2014; **156**(8): 1475-81.
52. Komotar RJ, Iorgulescu JB, Raper DM, et al. The role of radiotherapy following gross-total resection of atypical meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2012; **117**(4): 679-86.
53. Mair R, Morris K, Scott I, Carroll TA. Radiotherapy for atypical meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2011; **115**(4): 811-9.
54. Park HJ, Kang HC, Kim IH, et al. The role of adjuvant radiotherapy in atypical meningioma. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2013; **115**(2): 241-7.
55. Stessin AM, Schwartz A, Judanin G, et al. Does adjuvant external-beam radiotherapy improve outcomes for nonbenign meningiomas? A Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER)-based analysis. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2012; **117**(4): 669-75.

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigte Staaten)

56. Yoon H, Mehta MP, Perumal K, et al. Atypical meningioma: randomized trials are required to resolve contradictory retrospective results regarding the role of adjuvant radiotherapy. *Journal of cancer research and therapeutics* 2015; **11**(1): 59-66.
57. Jenkinson MD, Javadpour M, Haylock BJ, et al. The ROAM/EORTC-1308 trial: Radiation versus Observation following surgical resection of Atypical Meningioma: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2015; **16**: 519.
58. Lo SS, Cho KH, Hall WA, et al. Single dose versus fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for meningiomas. *The Canadian journal of neurological sciences Le journal canadien des sciences neurologiques* 2002; **29**(3): 240-8.
59. Sibtain A, Plowman PN. Stereotactic radiosurgery. VII. Radiosurgery versus conventionally-fractionated radiotherapy in the treatment of cavernous sinus meningiomas. *British journal of neurosurgery* 1999; **13**(2): 158-66.
60. Mantle RE, Lach B, Delgado MR, Baesa S, Belanger G. Predicting the probability of meningioma recurrence based on the quantity of peritumoral brain edema on computerized tomography scanning. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1999; **91**(3): 375-83.
61. Kaur G, Sayegh ET, Larson A, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy for atypical and malignant meningiomas: a systematic review. *Neuro-oncology* 2014; **16**(5): 628-36.
62. Furtner J, Schopf V, Seystahl K, et al. Kinetics of tumor size and peritumoral brain edema before, during, and after systemic therapy in recurrent WHO grade II or III meningioma. *Neuro-oncology* 2015.
63. Kaley TJ, Wen P, Schiff D, et al. Phase II trial of sunitinib for recurrent and progressive atypical and anaplastic meningioma. *Neuro-oncology* 2015; **17**(1): 116-21.
64. Lou E, Sumrall AL, Turner S, et al. Bevacizumab therapy for adults with recurrent/progressive meningioma: a retrospective series. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2012; **109**(1): 63-70.
65. Nayak L, Iwamoto FM, Rudnick JD, et al. Atypical and anaplastic meningiomas treated with bevacizumab. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2012; **109**(1): 187-93.
66. Puchner MJ, Hans VH, Harati A, Lohmann F, Glas M, Herrlinger U. Bevacizumab-induced regression of anaplastic meningioma. *Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO* 2010; **21**(12): 2445-6.
67. Preusser M, Spiegl-Kreinecker S, Lotsch D, et al. Trabectedin has promising antineoplastic activity in high-grade meningioma. *Cancer* 2012; **118**(20): 5038-49.
68. Lin BJ, Chou KN, Kao HW, et al. Correlation between magnetic resonance imaging grading and pathological grading in meningioma. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2014; **121**(5): 1201-8.
69. Gezen F, Kahraman S, Canakci Z, Beduk A. Review of 36 cases of spinal cord meningioma. *Spine* 2000; **25**(6): 727-31.
70. Gottfried ON, Gluf W, Quinones-Hinojosa A, Kan P, Schmidt MH. Spinal meningiomas: surgical management and outcome. *Neurosurgical focus* 2003; **14**(6): e2.
71. Kim CH, Chung CK, Lee SH, et al. Long-term recurrence rates after the removal of spinal meningiomas in relation to Simpson grades. *European spine journal : official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society* 2015.
72. Klekamp J, Samii M. Surgical results for spinal meningiomas. *Surgical neurology* 1999; **52**(6): 552-62.
73. Nakamura M, Tsuji O, Fujiyoshi K, et al. Long-term surgical outcomes of spinal meningiomas. *Spine* 2012; **37**(10): E617-23.
74. Tsuda K, Akutsu H, Yamamoto T, Nakai K, Ishikawa E, Matsumura A. Is Simpson grade I removal necessary in all cases of spinal meningioma? Assessment of postoperative recurrence during long-term follow-up. *Neurologia medico-chirurgica* 2014; **54**(11): 907-13.

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigte Staaten)

Formatiert: Englisch (Vereinigte Staaten)

75. Kufeld M, Wowra B, Muacevic A, Zausinger S, Tonn JC. Radiosurgery of spinal meningiomas and schwannomas. *Technology in cancer research & treatment* 2012; **11**(1): 27-34.
76. Norden AD, Raizer JJ, Abrey LE, et al. Phase II trials of erlotinib or gefitinib in patients with recurrent meningioma. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2010; **96**(2): 211-7.
77. Raizer JJ, Grimm SA, Rademaker A, et al. A phase II trial of PTK787/ZK 222584 in recurrent or progressive radiation and surgery refractory meningiomas. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2014; **117**(1): 93-101.
78. Mirimanoff RO, Dosoretz DE, Linggood RM, Ojemann RG, Martuza RL. Meningioma: analysis of recurrence and progression following neurosurgical resection. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1985; **62**(1): 18-24.
79. Barbaro NM, Gutin PH, Wilson CB, Sheline GE, Boldrey EB, Wara WM. Radiation therapy in the treatment of partially resected meningiomas. *Neurosurgery* 1987; **20**(4): 525-8.
80. Sughrue ME, Sanai N, Shangari G, Parsa AT, Berger MS, McDermott MW. Outcome and survival following primary and repeat surgery for World Health Organization Grade III meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2010; **113**(2): 202-9.
81. Soffiotti R, Baumert BG, Bello L, et al. Guidelines on management of low-grade gliomas: report of an EFNS-EANO Task Force. *European journal of neurology* 2010; **17**(9): 1124-33.
82. Weller M, Stupp R, Wick W. Epilepsy meets cancer: when, why, and what to do about it? *The Lancet Oncology* 2012; **13**(9): e375-82.
83. Weller M, van den Bent M, Hopkins K, et al. EANO guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of anaplastic gliomas and glioblastoma. *The Lancet Oncology* 2014; **15**(9): e395-403.
84. Brastianos PK, Horowitz PM, Santagata S, et al. Genomic sequencing of meningiomas identifies oncogenic SMO and AKT1 mutations. *Nature genetics* 2013; **45**(3): 285-9.
85. Clark VE, Erson-Omay EZ, Serin A, et al. Genomic analysis of non-NF2 meningiomas reveals mutations in TRAF7, KLF4, AKT1, and SMO. *Science (New York, NY)* 2013; **339**(6123): 1077-80.
86. Shah NR, Tancioni I, Ward KK, et al. Analyses of merlin/NF2 connection to FAK inhibitor responsiveness in serous ovarian cancer. *Gynecologic oncology* 2014; **134**(1): 104-11.
87. Domingues P, Gonzalez-Tablas M, Otero A, et al. Genetic/molecular alterations of meningiomas and the signaling pathways targeted. *Oncotarget* 2015; **6**(13): 10671-88.
88. Du Z, Abedalthagafi M, Aizer AA, et al. Increased expression of the immune modulatory molecule PD-L1 (CD274) in anaplastic meningioma. *Oncotarget* 2015; **6**(7): 4704-16.
89. Baumgarten P, Brokinkel B, Zinke J, et al. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and its receptors VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 in primary and recurrent WHO grade III meningiomas. *Histology and histopathology* 2013; **28**(9): 1157-66.
90. Preusser M, Hassler M, Birner P, et al. Microvascularization and expression of VEGF and its receptors in recurring meningiomas: pathobiological data in favor of anti-angiogenic therapy approaches. *Clinical neuropathology* 2012; **31**(5): 352-60.
91. Abedalthagafi M, Bi WL, Aizer AA, et al. Oncogenic PI3K mutations are as common as AKT1 and SMO mutations in meningioma. *Neuro-oncology* 2016; **18**(5): 649-55.

**Figure Legends:**

**Figure 1:**

Formatiert: Schriftart: Fett

Recommendations for therapeutic management of meningiomas of WHO grades I-III

Figure 2

Meningioma of the right convexity with typical radiological signs

A : Bone window of the cerebral CT scan in coronal view showing hyperostosis facing the tumor

B: Cerebral MRI (FLAIR) showing the tumor slightly hyperintense. Note the edema of the parenchyma adjacent to the tumor (arrow)

C: T2 sequences in coronal view showing CSF (small arrows) interposed between tumor and parenchyma demonstrating the extra-axial nature of the tumor

D : T1 weighted MRI after gadolinium injection depicting intense enhancement of the tumor. Note the large contact of the tumor with the dura-mater and the thickening of the adjacent dura-mater (arrow).

## Appendix:

### Epidemiology and clinical presentation

Meningiomas have the highest incidence rate among all intracranial and intraspinal tumors. In European countries the annual incidence rate of meningiomas is 4.2 per 100,000 individuals<sup>1,2</sup>. The median age at diagnosis is 65 years and incidence increases with age<sup>3</sup>. The majority of intracranial meningiomas are found in the supratentorial compartment, most commonly at the cerebral convexity, along the dural venous sinuses, along the falx or intraventricularly. Skull base meningiomas grow at the sphenoid wing, olfactory groove, clinoid process or petroclival regions. Additional sites include the cerebellopontine angle, the foramen magnum or – less commonly – the optic nerve sheath. Moreover, meningiomas represent 25-45% of intradural spinal tumors<sup>4</sup>. Eighty percent of spinal meningiomas are located in the thoracic spine<sup>5</sup>. Many meningiomas are asymptomatic and diagnosed incidentally. There is no clearly defined critical size for the development of symptoms in general, however, meningiomas ~~that the general rule is that meningiomas that~~ do not exceed 2.5 cm in diameter, rarely cause symptoms within 5 years of being discovered<sup>6</sup>. An exception can be smaller meningiomas, growing close to critical structures (such as the optic nerve) or meningiomas with disproportionately large edema or rate of growth. The most common symptoms are epilepsy, or headache for weeks to months, or location-specific symptoms or signs such as unilateral weakness, visual field loss, changes in personality or speech problems. Meningioma patients ~~exhibit~~ have diminished neurocognitive function as compared with healthy controls except for intelligence and visuoconstructive skills<sup>7-11</sup>. Neurocognitive functions in patients with meningiomas in the dominant hemisphere (usually left-side) are more compromised than in patients with meningiomas in the non-dominant hemisphere (usually right-side). Furthermore, neuro-cognition in patients with skull base meningiomas is worse than in patients with convexity meningiomas<sup>11</sup>. Meningioma patients do not differ from healthy controls with respect to anxiety or depression<sup>12</sup>. In spinal meningiomas, pain, paraparesis and spinal ataxia are the typical presenting ~~signs and~~ symptoms and signs, reflecting spinal cord compression<sup>5</sup>.

### Pathogenesis and risk factors

Meningiomas are assumed to derive from arachnoid cap cells. The arachnoid mater is the middle part of the meninges whose origin is best described as mesenchymal. Meningiomas exhibit epithelial features such as multiple intercellular gap junctions and expression of the epithelial membrane antigen. They usually occur where meninges are present. However, intraventricular meningioma is an important differential diagnosis for tumors of the lateral ventricles. These tumors are believed to arise from arachnoid cells entrapped in the choroid plexus during organogenesis. Although rare, distant metastases of meningioma to ~~the~~ lung and other sites have been described, not only with anaplastic (WHO grade III) meningiomas. Multiplicity of meningioma is observed and clonality has been

demonstrated in approximately half of the patients with two spatially separated meningioma manifestations, and in all patients with three or more meningioma manifestations<sup>13</sup>. Exposure to ionizing radiation has been firmly linked to a higher risk for meningiomas and radiation-associated meningiomas are more likely to be atypical or malignant and multifocal<sup>14-18</sup>. ~~Type 2 neurofibromatosis (NF2) is the most common genetic condition associated with an elevated risk for developing meningiomas. Patients with NF2 also may be more likely to develop malignant or multiple meningiomas.~~ Based on the observations of (i) higher incidences in women of reproductive age, (ii) tumor expression of hormone receptors, (iii) an association with breast cancer and (iv) changes in meningioma size during pregnancy, the menstrual cycle and menopause, a number of studies have sought to link endogenous and exogenous hormone exposure to meningioma growth, without significant correlations<sup>3,19</sup>.

## Diagnostic procedures

### Imaging

Cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scans, when used in combination, allow the diagnosis of intracranial meningioma ~~with high probability~~ in most cases<sup>20</sup>. MRI should comprise the sequences T1, T2 spin echo, T2 gradient echo, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), 3D time-of-flight (TOF) and T1 with gadolinium (see figure 2, main text). When the meningioma is located close to a major dural sinus vein, venous MRI angiography should be included to verify its patency. CT may be valuable in conjunction with MRI and should comprise bone window settings. Typically, meningiomas present as solitary round tumors, with close contact to the dura mater and strong enhancement after contrast injection. The typical signal of meningioma is isointense on T1, iso- or hyperintense on FLAIR and with high and homogenous enhancement following gadolinium injection. On T2, the meningeal arteries can sometimes be seen as lines of low signal radiating from the center of the tumor (typical "sunburst" appearance). Thickening of the dura mater at the perimeter of the tumor (~~so-called~~ *dural tail*) is displayed by T1 with gadolinium<sup>21</sup>. Extra-axial growth can be verified on T2 MRI by CSF interposed between the tumor and the parenchyma<sup>22</sup>. FLAIR and T2 sequences depict edema of the surrounding cerebral parenchyma. CT is valuable for the detection of calcification of varying degrees within the tumor, hyperostosis of adjacent bone and intraosseous tumor growth. Conventional cerebral angiography is no longer used for the diagnosis of meningioma and is restricted ~~as an adjunct~~ to selected cases. If cerebral angiography is performed, it shows a typical tumoral blush in most cases fed by the middle meningeal artery within the aspect of sunburst. Differential diagnoses of meningioma include vestibular schwannoma, if located in the cerebellopontine angle, meningeal metastasis, and hemangiopericytoma, if hypervascularity is seen. Meningiomas may express somatostatin receptor 2 and can be delineated from healthy tissue by positron emission tomography (PET) using peptide ligands such as (68)Ga-Dotatate or (90)Y-Dotatoc as PET tracers<sup>23,24</sup>.

Formatiert: Schriftart: Kursiv



## Histopathology

The current [world health organization \(WHO\)](#) classification system recognizes 15 different meningioma entities, 9 of which are allotted WHO grade I, 3 WHO grade II and 3 WHO grade III ([see ~~Teompare to table 1. of the main text~~<sup>25</sup>body of the guideline paper](#)) (Table 4). Some of these subtypes are associated with distinct clinical features: For example, secretory meningioma is frequently accompanied by pronounced peritumoral edema, or psammomatous meningioma is predominantly seen in the spinal meninges. Over all, the distinction between the 9 WHO grade I meningioma variants is of limited clinical relevance. On the other hand, grading of meningioma is of major clinical importance, because patients with WHO grade II and grade III meningiomas are considered candidates for postsurgical radiotherapy as discussed below. Grading of meningioma depends on mitotic rate [as well as](#), presence of brain invasion or [presence of some](#) specific histological features. [In the new WHO classification for central nervous system tumors brain invasion became a criteriumen to assign](#)How a WHO grade II as a single defining feature. Nevertheless, ~~T~~the currently applied parameters for defining the borders between the grades are not entirely satisfactory. While patient cohorts with WHO grade II meningioma generally exhibit shorter intervals to tumor recurrence, there is a considerable number of individual patients with [WHO grade I meningiomas](#)~~WHO grade I~~ with unexpectedly early tumor relapse. Conversely, some patients with WHO grade II meningioma, especially when a complete resection can be achieved, experience a [very prolonged](#) indolent clinical course even without adjuvant radiotherapy.

## Molecular pathology

The current dynamics in the analysis of human tumors with massive parallel sequencing have provided novel insights into molecular mechanisms involved in the formation and progression of meningiomas. Several genes beyond NF2 have been detected as frequently mutated in these tumors - for example KLF4 ([exclusively](#)) and TRAF7 ([commonly](#)) are ~~always~~ mutated in secretory meningioma<sup>26</sup>. NF2 mutations predominate in meningiomas with some spindle cell morphology encompassing fibroblastic, transitional and psammomatous meningioma. AKT1 exhibits the E17K hotspot mutation in a fraction of meningiomas of basal localization and potentially these tumors have actionable targets using specific inhibitors<sup>27,28</sup>. Another gene with recurrent mutations is SMO<sup>29,30</sup>. [These mutations seem to follow a pattern, thus creating molecular subgroups with characteristic combinations of mutations. It is of interest that mutations in these genes are not randomly distributed in meningiomas but form groups with typical combinations of mutations and exclusion of other mutations.](#)It is expected that a [future](#) molecularly based classification will [be developed and that this classification has](#) the potential to direct individualized meningioma-specific therapy ([see ~~Teompare tables 23 and 53, of the main text~~body of the guideline](#)) (tables 2 & 4). ~~P~~More relevant, preliminary findings point to TERT mutations, irrespective of WHO grade, being an indicator for more aggressive growth in meningioma<sup>31,32</sup>. [Likewise, PIK3CA mutations are associated with higher meningioma grades.](#)Molecular alterations associated with less favorable clinical courses are expected to [provide guidance develop as valuable adjuncts to tumor grading](#) for identifying patients at higher risk for

meningioma recurrence or progression ~~and earlier need for targeted intervention. In the future, tumor tissue sampling and storage for future molecular testing should become standard of practice will allow for a better understanding of the molecular signatures of the various meningioma types, and for a correlation of those signatures with the risk of tumor recurrence. (compare to table 1 of the main body of the guideline).~~ Additional work to correlate molecular signatures with tumor recurrence is needed to more reliably select and predict which patients will benefit from adjuvant therapy.

## Therapeutic strategies

### Observation and decision making

Meningiomas are a common finding on cranial MRI, and are often discovered incidentally<sup>33</sup>. If a meningioma is diagnosed provisionally by neuroimaging, it must be ascertained if (1) the finding has a clinical correlate, (2) ~~the symptoms~~ or signs, if any, may be relieved by treating the tumor, and if (3) the potential benefits from treatment outweigh the associated risks. If the answer is no to any of these three questions, observation may be the best strategy unless there is diagnostic doubt, necessitating early verification of the diagnosis. Observation is a preferred strategy in many cases of suspected meningioma, especially in small, incidentally discovered tumors. ~~Although class I or II evidence is missing in order to support guidelines for observation rather than therapy, there is no class I or II evidence to support guidelines for observational management of meningiomas, but there are numerous retrospective series and several reviews validating this concept<sup>6</sup> (evidence level III). The most important determinant for symptom development is tumor size at diagnosis. A diameter of 2 cm or less is associated with a higher risk of growth, but very few of these tumors become symptomatic within a period of 5 years. Another important parameter for symptom development is a growth rate of more than 10% per year<sup>6</sup>. A meta-analysis of 22 retrospective studies identified calcification and absence of peri-tumoural signal change, specifically edema, as factors associated with slower meningioma growth. Such tumors may be managed by active surveillance using MRI, and treatment should be offered only if they become symptomatic or show growth.~~

Due to the different nature of meningiomas and the dissimilarity of meningioma patients, therapy of these tumors needs to be individualized. Patients should be counseled about the finding and given advice accordingly. ~~Many physicians overlook may underestimate oversee the long-term sequelae of brain surgery. In the study of van der Vossen et al. reported it was shown that 40% of the patients operated for a meningioma experienced cognitive or emotional problems after surgery<sup>34</sup>.~~ If a patient refuses observation as a management strategy ~~even after thorough information~~, treatment may be justified. If one decides to manage a suspected meningioma by observation alone, it has to be agreed who is responsible for patient follow-up. In an ideal setting, this is done by an experienced neurosurgeon or neurooncologist. The patient should receive written information about the need for follow-up, and the potential consequences of not adhering to the follow-up regimen. Annual MRI scans and clinical outpatient consultations are recommended for an initial period assigning the first scan as a reference.

It is uncertain for how long the follow-up of a meningioma should be continued if there is no sign of growth. If a tumor shows significant growth, and in particular if growth leads to new symptoms, treatment is usually indicated. In these cases, surgery is advocated if feasible<sup>35</sup>. In addition or as alternatives, various schedules of radiotherapy, radiosurgery or combination therapies may be treatment options<sup>36</sup>. It is strongly recommended that these patients are discussed in a multidisciplinary panel of neurosurgeons, radiation oncologists and neuro-oncologists. The patient should be informed about the treatment alternatives and the pros and cons of the options should be presented in an unbiased way so as to allow the patient an informed decision about the choice of treatment.

### **Surgery**

Surgery is the treatment of choice for the majority of symptomatic and enlarging meningiomas, serving the dual role of relieving symptoms and mass effect and providing tissue for distinguishing histological type and WHO grade of malignancy (evidence level II, recommendation level B). Surgical risks should be fully discussed with the patients prior to surgery including location-specific risks. There is no evidence that prophylactic antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) reduce the incidence of peri- or post-operative epilepsy. However, certain locations are more prone to seizures e.g. frontal, temporal, and parietal meningiomas have a higher risk than occipital tumors, and convexity and parasagittal/falx meningiomas have a higher risk than tumors of the skull base<sup>37-39</sup>.

Careful pre-operative planning reduces the risk of post-operative deficits. Attention to venous anatomy is a key factor in successful surgery, particularly for meningiomas involving the venous sinuses.

While it is generally safe to divide the anterior third of the sagittal sinus, inadvertent damage to cortical veins and intra-diploic venous drainage can lead to post-operative venous infarction with devastating consequences. Image guidance is now routinely used to position the craniotomy and allows image fusion of multiple data sets that provide information about critical neurovascular structures. Meningiomas that involve the skull base may result in holes in the frontal, sphenoid and ethmoid air sinuses - these holes must be sealed off to prevent post-operative cerebrospinal fluid leaks. Care should also be taken when resecting meningiomas near to the optic apparatus so as not to disrupt blood supply which could result in visual loss. Intra-operative neurophysiological monitoring, e.g. facial nerve and brainstem-evoked potentials, may help to minimize neurological deficits.

The general principles of meningioma surgery are dividing the tumor from its blood supply and internal debulking followed by peripheral dissection. The aim is gross total resection including involved dura and bone, but this is determined by tumor location and size. If tumor location does not permit complete resection without significant neurological deficit ~~for the patient~~, maximum safe resection has to be defined as primary surgical goal. If tumor remnants need to be left, these can be monitored with MRI or treated with post-operative conformal or stereotactic fractionated radiotherapy or radiosurgery, depending on location, size and proximity to critical structures, e.g., cavernous sinus.

Extent of resection (EOR) ~~as is~~ defined by the Simpson Grade (see table 4, main text) ~~(table 3) that relies on the surgeon's assessment at surgery and~~ is an important prognostic factor for risk of tumor

recurrence<sup>40</sup>. Even though ~~if the relevance, actuality and prognostic strength of~~ the Simpson classification ~~has been questioned~~ pre-dates modern neuro-imaging and several authors see a limited value<sup>41</sup>, ~~a recent series shows that the the Simpson classification~~ it still ~~proved to be valuable~~ has a role ~~for~~ in assessing the risk of recurrence ~~in recent series~~<sup>40</sup>. Today, the intraoperative assessment of Simpson grade should be confirmed by postoperative MRI that can be performed within 48 h after surgery or after 3 months to avoid artifacts. In case of incomplete resection or suspected WHO grade II or III meningioma, early MRI within 48 hours should be performed to plan further therapy. In modern neurosurgery, the potential benefit of radical surgical resection must be balanced against the risks of complications and causing neurological deficit. In WHO grade I meningioma, defining EOR as either gross total ~~resection, resection~~, i.e. no residual solid tumor, or subtotal resection (STR) is an equally good prognostic factor for tumor recurrence<sup>41</sup>. -Several clinical research consortia including the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) and the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) have adopted these definitions for use in prospective clinical trials<sup>42</sup>. Regarding spinal meningiomas, the majority of data supports surgical strategies striving for completeness of excision. Recurrence rates of spinal meningiomas after surgical resection have been reported in the range of 1.3 – 14.7%<sup>43-46</sup>. There is consensus that incomplete resection is a risk factor for recurrence but it is unclear whether Simpson grade I resection achieves better long-term outcome than Simpson grade II resection<sup>43,46-48</sup>. Most papers report lower recurrence rates after resection of the involved dura but at the cost of a higher complication rate, particularly for meningiomas located unfavorably or with ~~prominent~~ severe calcification<sup>45</sup>. Therefore, Simpson grade I resection should be aimed for in all cases of spinal meningioma with a favorable location, but only if this can be achieved without compromising neurological function and if a safe and uncomplicated dural repair is feasible. For patients with ventrally located meningiomas or with calcified dural attachment, excision of the dura should not be the goal – coagulation of the dural attachment is sufficient.

~~In conclusion~~ any case, the decision for surgery versus observation should balance the benefit of surgery, i.e. tumor removal versus potential ~~also take into account the long-term sequelae of the surgical treatment~~. Up to 40% of meningioma patients after surgery experience cognitive or emotional problems such as cognitive complains, anxiety and depression<sup>34</sup>.

Kommentiert [G1]: Stimmt das Zitat 12? Es hat zu tun mit Anxiety depression...???

## Radiotherapy

External beam radiation therapy (RT) has been used extensively in patients with meningioma for the following indications: (i) in tumor locations not amenable to surgery, (ii) in tumors that are not completely resected, (iii) in atypical and malignant tumors and (iv) in recurrent tumors. No randomized or prospectively controlled studies have evaluated ~~the survival and~~ tumor control ~~and survival~~ after conventional RT. Nevertheless, retrospective studies of patients with residual or recurrent WHO grade I meningiomas report a local control rate of 75-90% at 10 years<sup>49-53</sup>. In a series of 82 patients with skull base meningiomas treated with conventional RT, Nutting et al. reported 5-year and 10-year local tumor control rates of 92% and 83%, respectively<sup>52</sup>. In another series of 101 patients treated with 3-dimensional (3D) conformal RT, Mendenhall et al. reported a local control of 95% at 5 years and 92%

at 10 and 15 years, respectively, and cause-specific survival rates of 97% and 92%, respectively<sup>51</sup>. The reported control and survival after subtotal resection and RT are similar to those observed after complete resection, and better than that achieved with incomplete resection alone<sup>54,55</sup> (evidence level III, recommendation level B).

Large skull base meningiomas may present a therapeutic challenge. Also in these tumors, RT has been suggested as an effective treatment. A 5-year tumor control in the range of 90 to 97% has been reported for skull base meningiomas up to 5 cm in greatest dimension<sup>53,56,57</sup>; however, meningiomas larger than 5 cm in size seem to be associated with worse local control<sup>58,59</sup>. There is little evidence that timing of RT is important, as local control and survival rates are similar whether the treatment is given as a part of the primary treatment or at the time of recurrence<sup>51-53,60</sup>. In most series, the administered dose ranged between 50 and 57 Gy delivered in daily fractions of 1.8-2 Gy. The tumor control rates were similar for doses of 50-55 Gy or > 55 Gy<sup>51,53,57,59-64</sup>. Doses below 50 Gy were associated with higher, mostly local recurrence rates<sup>51,60</sup>.

There is a significant long-term risk of cognitive impairment after [brain tumor radiotherapy RT to the brain](#)<sup>65</sup>. In order to spare tumor-surrounding sensitive neurovascular structures, technical advances have enabled administration of fractionated RT by the use of intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) and fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT). FSRT has shown to improve symptoms within 1-3 months after treatment<sup>66</sup>. Using FSRT with median doses of 57 Gy, Milker-Zabel et al. reported a 10-year local control of 89% in 317 patients with either skull base or intracranial meningiomas, and similar tumor control rates have been observed in other series of FSRT<sup>59,62,67,68</sup>. Combs et al. evaluated the outcomes of 506 patients with skull base meningiomas who received FSRT (n=376) or IMRT (n=131), reporting a similar local control of 91% at 10 years for patients with WHO grade I meningiomas<sup>67</sup>. Thus, both techniques are probably effective as primary and salvage treatment for meningiomas, with a local control at 5 and 10 years similar to that reported with conformal RT. Particle therapies like proton and carbon ion irradiation allow a high dose deposition on the tumor providing very low doses to the surrounding adjacent tissues via the "Bragg Peak". Irradiation and re-irradiation of meningioma using protons or carbon ions as stand-alone therapies or in combination with photon therapy have been reported to be well tolerated and to allow dose escalation, particularly in WHO grade II and III meningioma, showing good local control rates<sup>61,69-71</sup>. There is some evidence that dose escalation >60 Gy or even 65 Gy could lead to a better cause specific survival in patients with atypical and malignant meningioma<sup>69</sup>. However, proton therapy [remains has yet](#) to be evaluated in prospective clinical trials.

The role of RT for WHO grade II meningiomas, [specifically its timing](#), remains unclear. In a series of 83 patients of whom 66% had undergone GTR, Park et al. reported a 5-year tumor control of 59% versus 44% with and without postoperative RT, [respectively](#)<sup>72</sup>. Improved progression-free survival rates after postoperative RT have been observed in comparative retrospective series<sup>73-75</sup>. On the contrary, other studies showed no advantages in terms of progression-free survival for adjuvant RT<sup>76-78</sup>. For WHO grade III meningiomas, postoperative RT using doses of 55-60 Gy in 1.8-2.0 Gy daily

fractions is an established treatment by consensus. There is a trend toward longer survival for patients who had received adjuvant RT after surgery compared to those treated with surgery alone<sup>79,80</sup>.

Current clinical trials address the question of dose: In the RTOG 0539 trial, "WHO grade II meningiomas intermediate risk" meningiomas (i.e. recurrent WHO grade I or WHO grade II after GTR) are treated by RT with 54 Gy in 30 fractions after GTR, while "high risk meningioma" (i.e. WHO grade II recurrent disease, WHO grade II after STR and all WHO grade III) receive up to 60 Gy (NCT00895622). The ongoing EORTC 22042-26042 trial evaluates the efficacy of high-dose radiotherapy (RT) in atypical/malignant meningioma (NCT00626730). In this phase II study, in the EORTC-22042-26042 trial, WHO grade II and grade III tumors post GTR are irradiated with 60 Gy in 30 fractions. After STR, 60 Gy plus a 10 Gy boost on the remaining tumor volume are delivered. The ROAM / EORTC 1308 trial (ISRCTN71502099) is currently recruiting patients, is a multicenter, phase III, randomized controlled trial that is currently recruiting patients, and will answer the question whether early adjuvant RT reduces recurrence compared with active monitoring in patients, who have undergone GTR of newly diagnosed atypical meningioma. ~~Will~~ does early adjuvant radiotherapy reduce recurrence compared with active monitoring? with newly diagnosed atypical meningioma (WHO grade II) who have undergone gross total resection (Simpson I-III) and will randomize between early adjuvant radiotherapy (60 Gy in 30 fractions) and observation to determine whether radiotherapy reduces the risk of tumor recurrence<sup>81</sup>.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt., Hervorheben

Formatiert: Schriftart: (Standard) Arial, 10 Pt.

## Radiosurgery

In cases that are associated with increased surgical risk, radiosurgery by gamma knife, cyber knife or other types of linear accelerator can be regarded as an effective alternative for radiologically diagnosed meningiomas. The dose used for radiosurgery in meningiomas is highly dependent upon the technique applied, the prescribed isodose, the proximity of neurovascular structures at risk, as well as the size and configuration of the tumor. Generally, a single coverage dose of 14 to 16 Gy is recommended<sup>77</sup>. Besides direct cellular and toxic effects on tumor cells, the impact of a single high dose irradiation on nutritive microvessels seems to be relevant<sup>82</sup>. Radiosurgery may be indicated – even as first therapeutic option - in particular situations like tumor location in the cavernous sinus or the clivus, multiple meningiomas, partially resected tumors, recurrent meningioma, or in cases where comorbidities preclude open surgery. In these situations, radiosurgery can be used as an exclusive therapeutic option based on neuroimaging alone or as part of a combination therapy together with a planned partial surgical resection. A series of 35 retrospective studies showed 5 year progression free survival (PFS) of 86-100 percent after primary radiosurgery<sup>83</sup>. A study analyzing the outcome of 79 patients with cavernous sinus meningiomas treated by radiosurgery alone revealed a tumor control rate of 89.8% at 10 years<sup>84</sup>. A similar excellent clinical outcome and low toxicity have been reported in a few series with the use of multi-session radiosurgery at doses of 18-25 Gy delivered in 2 to 5 daily fractions in patients with meningiomas larger than 2.5-3.0 cm in size and/or situated close to critical structures<sup>85,86</sup>. Although promising, the limited numbers of patients and follow-up time does not allow drawing definitive conclusions on the use of hypofractionated regimens in routine clinical practice as

an alternative to conventionally fractionated **RTradiotherapy**. Petroclival meningiomas or sphenoid meningiomas are potential candidates for treatment strategies combining surgery and radiosurgery<sup>49,87</sup>. In the latter tumors, combination therapy allows surgical decompression of the optic apparatus and irradiation of tumor remnants in the cavernous sinus. Radiosurgery has also been selected for treatment of recurrent atypical meningiomas. The overall survival of these patients was 87% after 5 years and 75% after 10 years<sup>88</sup>. Multiple meningiomas and intracranial meningiomatosis might be an indication for radiosurgery, if there is no more treatment potential for surgery or fractionated **RTradiotherapy**<sup>89</sup>.

There are no prospective randomized data comparing fractionated RT and radiosurgery. The control rates 5 and 10 years after RT or radiosurgery for WHO grade I meningiomas are very similar. **Ten+0** year PFS after RT using FSRT or IMRT was reported as 91% whereas 83 to 97% are documented for radiosurgery<sup>67,90</sup>. Radiosurgery allows treatment of a circumscribed volume using a single dose, therefore achieving a high patient comfort. On the other hand, the use of radiosurgery is limited to small and non-infiltrative disease and locations distant from sensitive critical structures such as visual pathways because of the radiosensitivity of late reacting normal tissue to dose per fraction. In these indications, fractionated RT that sometimes can be performed using the same machines is preferred to radiosurgery. In case of infiltrative meningioma growth or WHO grade II or III meningiomas, which have a high recurrence rate, fractionated techniques seem superior<sup>91</sup>.

Any decision for RT should take into account the long-term side effects of **RT**radiation therapy, and these should be discussed with the patient. In the literature, the incidence of treatment toxicity ranges from 3.4% to 16.7%. Neurocognitive impairment has been described in 53% of cases<sup>65</sup>. Although blindness due to involvement of the neuro-optic structures into the treatment field has been reported to occurring in 5% of patients, newer studies show this risk to be significantly lower, probably due to the modern treatment techniques and doses<sup>92,93</sup>. If the pituitary gland receives irradiation, there may be changes in hormonal levels; although hypogonadism is relatively rare (up to 6%), hypopituitarism is reported in up to 50% of cases, and early involvement of the endocrinologist is advisable<sup>92</sup>.

### Peptide Receptor Radionuclide Therapy (PRRT)

Some meningiomas show prominent expression of somatostatin receptors and peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) using radiopeptides targeting somatostatin receptors such as 90Y-DOTATOC ([90Y-DOTA0, Tyr3]-octreotide), 177Lu-DOTATATE ([177Lu-DOTA0, Tyr3]-octreotate) and 111In-Pentetreotide has been evaluated in small series or singular cases of somatostatin receptor-positive meningiomas. PRRT was well tolerated and some disease stabilizations and few partial responses were reported. However, the available evidence is anecdotal and well designed studies are needed to evaluate the role of PRRT in meningiomas. In the meantime, PRRT should preferentially be offered in the framework of clinical studies<sup>94-98</sup>.

### Embolization

Formatiert: Abstand Nach: 18 Pt.

Kommentiert [RG2]: Reference Douw fehlt immer noch!!!

**Preoperative embolisation of meningiomas aims at reducing blood loss during surgical resection**<sup>99-101</sup>. ~~Unlike some hypervascular tumors, such as hemangioblastomas, for which embolisation is almost always carried out prior to surgery,~~ Indications for embolisation of meningiomas vary substantially depending on the neurosurgical team<sup>102</sup>. There is no controlled study that shows better clinical outcomes of surgery if ~~it has been~~ preceded by **pre-operative** embolisation. Consequently, there is no general indication for embolisation of meningioma; individual indications are assessed on a case by case basis by each team. The principle is to first and foremost occlude the afferent arteries that cannot be reached by the surgeon when accessing the tumor. This is performed by free flow particle injection or coil embolisation within 24 hours of **planned** surgery. Complications may arise when the neuroradiologist tries to distally guide the embolus **into** the capillary bed of the tumor. This can result in tumor hemorrhage, erratic embolisation through anastomosis or cranial nerves palsy<sup>103,104</sup>. Four different anatomical scenarios can be discussed: (i) In the very common convexity meningiomas, there is infrequently an indication for preoperative embolisation. If an embolisation is indicated, 100 to 300 µm particles are injected into the middle meningeal artery. These small particles allow a more distal penetration into the tumor bed. This results in a more substantial necrotic effect on the tumor, but their use also entails a higher risk of intra-tumoral hemorrhage<sup>103,105</sup>. A controlled study indicated that preoperative embolisation resulted in a significant reduction of perioperative blood loss<sup>99</sup>. Reported complications are tumor hemorrhage and ischemia due to erratic movement of the emboli. The incidence of complications varies from 0 to 9 %. (ii) Olfactory meningiomas are generally vascularized by ethmoidal arteries. Since these are branches of the ophthalmic artery implicating a risk of jeopardizing vision by embolisation, these tumors should never be embolized. (iii) Meningiomas of the cavernous sinus can be subjected to preoperative embolisation. However, the afferent arteries are small-sized dural arteries emanating from the carotid siphon which, aside from rare cases, are not amenable to selective catheterization. Therefore, if an indication for embolisation of a cavernous sinus meningioma is made **in very rare cases due to a lack of therapeutic alternatives**, the internal carotid artery **would need** to be occluded after testing patency of the Circle of Willis. (iv) Petroclival meningiomas can be embolized via the meningeal trunk of the ascending pharyngeal artery. This artery cannot be controlled **by the neurosurgically** during lateral approaches to the clivus, the petrous bone or the cerebellopontine angle<sup>106</sup>.

Formatiert: Hervorheben

Formatiert: Hervorheben

### Pharmacotherapy and experimental therapies

Pharmacotherapy of meningiomas is typically considered in the following main patient populations: (i) patients with recurrent or progressive meningiomas of all tumor grades in whom surgical resection or **radiotherapy-RT** are no longer feasible, and (ii) patients with metastatic meningioma. Principally, systemic therapy appears to be able to inhibit meningioma growth to some extent<sup>107</sup>. A variety of drugs have been studied in meningiomas. However, the interpretation of most of the available studies is limited by several factors, in particular small patient numbers, the retrospective design of most studies, the heterogeneity of patient populations with regard to tumor type and prior therapies, the lack of comparator treatment arms or reliable historical benchmark activity parameters and the lack of standardized response criteria. Thus, pharmacotherapy of meningioma has so far an unclear benefit



and has to be considered experimental. Overall, inclusion of patients with meningiomas in clinical trials evaluating novel treatment approaches is recommended. Depending on ongoing molecular classification of meningiomas, targeted therapies are evolving ([see compare Table 53, of the main text body of the guideline](#)) (table 4).

#### WHO grade I meningiomas

Hydroxyurea, temozolomide, irinotecan, interferon-alpha, sandostatin LAR, pasireotide LAR, imatinib, erlotinib and gefitinib have been studied in retrospective and single-arm phase II studies in WHO grade I meningiomas that have failed surgical resection and radiotherapy<sup>108,109</sup>. Mifepristone was studied in a randomized phase III trial but failed to show any advantage over placebo<sup>110</sup>. The PFS-6 rates in these studies ranged from 0% to 67%, while median OS times were only inconsistently reported and ranged from 7 to 13 months<sup>108</sup>. The lack of clear data on the natural course and the uncontrolled character of these studies preclude definite conclusions. Based on the available data, none of the evaluated drugs showed clear signs of clinically relevant activity sufficient to recommend them for [standard practice](#) clinical use. [Notably](#) ~~t~~temozolomide is not active in meningioma<sup>111</sup>.

#### WHO grade II and III meningiomas

Retrospective studies and small prospective studies have evaluated a range of drugs including hydroxyurea, cyclophosphamide/adriamycin/vincristine chemotherapy, interferon-alpha, megestrol acetate, medroxy-progesterone acetate, octreotide, sandostatin LAR, pasireotide LAR, imatinib, erlotinib, gefitinib, vatalanib, sunitinib and bevacizumab in [patients with](#) WHO grade II and III meningiomas<sup>108</sup>. PFS-6 rates ranged from 0% to 64% and median OS times from 6 to 33 months in patients progressing after surgical resection and radiotherapy<sup>108</sup>. The most promising results have been reported for bevacizumab, vatalanib and sunitinib, all drugs with anti-angiogenic properties<sup>107,108,112-114</sup>. These results need to be confirmed in prospective controlled trials, before clinical use of these compounds in [patients with](#) WHO grade II and III meningiomas can be recommended. An ongoing EORTC phase II trial (NCT02234050) explores the efficacy of trabectedin, a tetrahydroisoquinoline that has shown promising activity in recurrent [WHO](#) grade II and grade III meningiomas<sup>115</sup>.

### **Surveillance and follow up of meningiomas**

There is [only little](#) ~~no~~ [robust](#) data [available](#) on the best follow-up schedule for meningiomas, ~~since most retrospective studies do not report on monitoring intervals and since prospective studies published so far had variable follow-up protocols, usually tailored to fit the treatment visits.~~ Therefore, the following recommendations are based more on expert consensus opinion rather than evidence.

[An experienced neurosurgeon or neurooncologist should be in charge for the follow up.](#) ~~Follow-up should be performed clinically by an experienced neurosurgeon or neurooncologist and.~~ [This](#) must be accompanied in special cases by additional specialists, e.g., an ophthalmologist should closely

Formatiert: Schriftart: 12 Pt.

monitor the visual status in case of a tuberculom sellae meningiomas ~~or an audiologist should monitor the hearing level in case of a cerebellopontine angle tumor~~. The ~~re is a considerable variation in~~ follow up intervals ~~possible which should~~ depend not only from resection status, size and location of the tumor, but also age and the general and neurological status of the patient.

~~Our proposed approach on the management of~~For small, asymptomatic meningiomas ~~we suggest is to evaluate the dynamics of the tumor with~~ MRI with contrast medium 6 months after ~~initial~~-diagnosis and then annually, as long as the patient remains asymptomatic. After five years this interval can be doubled. In patients ~~where the identification of a tumor progression has no clinical relevance or consequence, e.g. patients~~ with limited life expectancy due to high age or severe co-morbidities, controls may be omitted ~~if the radiological diagnosis of a benign meningioma seems clear~~.

Monitoring after initial treatment should depend on the extent of resection and grading of the tumor. ~~Even for~~For WHO I meningiomas resected totally, the 10-year recurrence rate ~~in the literature is reported up to vary from 20-39% and~~ ~~Studies with long follow-up with MRI show that recurrence~~ ~~ithus~~ more common than previously thought. ~~Therefore, it is advisable to perform a baseline MRI within 48 hours or after 3 months, in order to assess the EOR.~~The EOR should be controlled with a ~~baseline MRI either within 48 hours after the operation or after 3 months~~. Thereafter, we ~~propose suggest~~ annual MRI controls ~~until for the first five years post treatment, then every two years and then biannually~~.

If resection is ~~known to be~~ incomplete, EOR should be documented by early postoperative MRI within 48 hours. For WHO grade I tumors after STR, the 10-year progression rates vary between 55 and 100% suggesting a more vigilant long-term follow-up<sup>116,117</sup>. For those cases, MRI at 6 and 12 months is recommended, then annually.

The ~~course of patients with natural history of~~ WHO grade II meningiomas ~~might vary within a wide range is less clear, since the 2007 WHO criteria changed their definition and thus their identification rates~~. The 5-year recurrence/progression rates ~~are reported may be as high as~~ 30% and 40% after GTR and STR, respectively<sup>73,76</sup>. ~~To monitor these tumors~~ ~~In these tumors~~, we suggest an early postoperative MRI within 48 hours ~~as a basis for further observation~~. Follow-up MRI should be done every 6 months for 5 years, then annually.

WHO grade III meningiomas are aggressive tumors with very poor local control, even after multimodal treatment. In the recent studies utilizing the WHO 2007 grading scheme, the 5-year-PFS ranged from 12 to 57%, even after resection and ~~RT~~radiotherapy. ~~Therefore, For~~ these tumors ~~have to be followed up~~ very close ~~follow-up is to be recommendedly~~<sup>118</sup>. After the initial, early post-treatment MRI, cranial imaging should be routinely done every 6 months, in rapidly growing cases every 3 months.

## References

1. Woehrer A, Hackl M, Waldhor T, et al. Relative survival of patients with non-malignant central nervous system tumours: a descriptive study by the Austrian Brain Tumour Registry. *British journal of cancer* 2014; **110**(2): 286-96.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 12 Pt.

Formatiert: Schriftart: 12 Pt., Fett

Feldfunktion geändert

2. Zouaoui S, Darlix A, Rigau V, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of 13,038 newly diagnosed and histologically confirmed meningiomas in France: 2006-2010. *Neuro-Chirurgie* 2015.
3. Saraf S, McCarthy BJ, Villano JL. Update on meningiomas. *The oncologist* 2011; **16**(11): 1604-13.
4. Sun SQ, Cai C, Ravindra VM, et al. Simpson Grade I-III Resection of Spinal Atypical (World Health Organization Grade II) Meningiomas is Associated With Symptom Resolution and Low Recurrence. *Neurosurgery* 2015; **76**(6): 739-46.
5. Goldbrunner R. Intradural extramedullary tumors. In: Tonn J, ed. *Neuro-oncology of CNS tumors*: Springer; 2006: 635-45.
6. Sughrue ME, Rutkowski MJ, Aranda D, Barani IJ, McDermott MW, Parsa AT. Treatment decision making based on the published natural history and growth rate of small meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2010; **113**(5): 1036-42.
7. Tucha O, Smely C, Lange KW. Effects of surgery on cognitive functioning of elderly patients with intracranial meningioma. *British journal of neurosurgery* 2001; **15**(2): 184-8.
8. Tucha O, Smely C, Preier M, Becker G, Paul GM, Lange KW. Preoperative and postoperative cognitive functioning in patients with frontal meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2003; **98**(1): 21-31.
9. van Nieuwenhuizen D, Klein M, Stalpers LJ, Leenstra S, Heimans JJ, Reijneveld JC. Differential effect of surgery and radiotherapy on neurocognitive functioning and health-related quality of life in WHO grade I meningioma patients. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2007; **84**(3): 271-8.
10. Waagemans ML, van Nieuwenhuizen D, Dijkstra M, et al. Long-term impact of cognitive deficits and epilepsy on quality of life in patients with low-grade meningiomas. *Neurosurgery* 2011; **69**(1): 72-8; discussion 8-9.
11. Dijkstra M, van Nieuwenhuizen D, Stalpers LJ, et al. Late neurocognitive sequelae in patients with WHO grade I meningioma. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry* 2009; **80**(8): 910-5.
12. Pringle AM, Taylor R, Whittle IR. Anxiety and depression in patients with an intracranial neoplasm before and after tumour surgery. *British journal of neurosurgery* 1999; **13**(1): 46-51.
13. Stangl AP, Wellenreuther R, Lenartz D, et al. Clonality of multiple meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1997; **86**(5): 853-8.
14. Al-Mefty O, Topsakal C, Pravdenkova S, Sawyer JR, Harrison MJ. Radiation-induced meningiomas: clinical, pathological, cytogenetic, and cytogenetic characteristics. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2004; **100**(6): 1002-13.
15. Preston-Martin S, Mack W, Henderson BE. Risk factors for gliomas and meningiomas in males in Los Angeles County. *Cancer research* 1989; **49**(21): 6137-43.
16. Rubinstein AB, Shalit MN, Cohen ML, Zandbank U, Reichenthal E. Radiation-induced cerebral meningioma: a recognizable entity. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1984; **61**(5): 966-71.
17. Sadamori N, Shibata S, Mine M, et al. Incidence of intracranial meningiomas in Nagasaki atomic-bomb survivors. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer* 1996; **67**(3): 318-22.
18. Sadetzki S, Chetrit A, Freedman L, Stovall M, Modan B, Novikov I. Long-term follow-up for brain tumor development after childhood exposure to ionizing radiation for tinea capitis. *Radiation research* 2005; **163**(4): 424-32.
19. Custer B, Longstreth WT, Jr., Phillips LE, Koepsell TD, Van Belle G. Hormonal exposures and the risk of intracranial meningioma in women: a population-based case-control study. *BMC cancer* 2006; **6**: 152.
20. Zhang H, Rodiger LA, Shen T, Miao J, Oudkerk M. Preoperative subtyping of meningiomas by perfusion MR imaging. *Neuroradiology* 2008; **50**(10): 835-40.
21. Takeguchi T, Miki H, Shimizu T, et al. The dural tail of intracranial meningiomas on fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery images. *Neuroradiology* 2004; **46**(2): 130-5.

22. Alvernia JE, Sindou MP. Preoperative neuroimaging findings as a predictor of the surgical plane of cleavage: prospective study of 100 consecutive cases of intracranial meningioma. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2004; **100**(3): 422-30.
23. Collamati F, Pepe A, Bellini F, et al. Toward radioguided surgery with beta- decays: uptake of a somatostatin analogue, DOTATOC, in meningioma and high-grade glioma. *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 2015; **56**(1): 3-8.
24. Rachinger W, Stoecklein VM, Terpolilli NA, et al. Increased 68Ga-DOTATATE uptake in PET imaging discriminates meningioma and tumor-free tissue. *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 2015; **56**(3): 347-53.
25. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Acta neuropathologica* 2016; **131**(6): 803-20.
26. Reuss DE, Piro RM, Jones DT, et al. Secretory meningiomas are defined by combined KLF4 K409Q and TRAF7 mutations. *Acta neuropathologica* 2013; **125**(3): 351-8.
27. Weller M RP, Sahm F, Burghardt I, Schuknecht B, Rushing ER, Regli L, Lindemann JP, von Deimling A. Durable control of metastatic AKT1-mutant WHO-grade I meningothelial meningioma by the AKT inhibitor, AZD5363. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2016.
28. Sahm F, Bissel J, Koelsche C, et al. AKT1E17K mutations cluster with meningothelial and transitional meningiomas and can be detected by SFRP1 immunohistochemistry. *Acta neuropathologica* 2013; **126**(5): 757-62.
29. Brastianos PK, Horowitz PM, Santagata S, et al. Genomic sequencing of meningiomas identifies oncogenic SMO and AKT1 mutations. *Nature genetics* 2013; **45**(3): 285-9.
30. Clark VE, Erson-Omay EZ, Serin A, et al. Genomic analysis of non-NF2 meningiomas reveals mutations in TRAF7, KLF4, AKT1, and SMO. *Science (New York, NY)* 2013; **339**(6123): 1077-80.
31. Goutagny S, Nault JC, Mallet M, Henin D, Rossi JZ, Kalamarides M. High incidence of activating TERT promoter mutations in meningiomas undergoing malignant progression. *Brain pathology (Zurich, Switzerland)* 2014; **24**(2): 184-9.
32. Sahm F, Schrimpf D, Olar A, et al. TERT Promoter Mutations and Risk of Recurrence in Meningioma. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2016; **108**(5).
33. Vernooij MW, Ikram MA, Tanghe HL, et al. Incidental findings on brain MRI in the general population. *The New England journal of medicine* 2007; **357**(18): 1821-8.
34. van der Vossen S, Schepers VP, Berkelbach van der Sprenkel JW, Visser-Meily JM, Post MW. Cognitive and emotional problems in patients after cerebral meningioma surgery. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine* 2014; **46**(5): 430-7.
35. Rogers L, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Meningiomas: knowledge base, treatment outcomes, and uncertainties. A RANO review. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2014: 1-20.
36. Seifert V. Clinical management of petroclival meningiomas and the eternal quest for preservation of quality of life: personal experiences over a period of 20 years. *Acta neurochirurgica* 2010; **152**(7): 1099-116.
37. Chaichana KL, Pendleton C, Zaidi H, et al. Seizure control for patients undergoing meningioma surgery. *World neurosurgery* 2013; **79**(3-4): 515-24.
38. Weston J, Greenhalgh J, Marson AG. Antiepileptic drugs as prophylaxis for post-craniotomy seizures. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2015; **3**: CD007286.
39. Wirsching HG, Morel C, Gmur C, et al. Predicting outcome of epilepsy after meningioma resection. *Neuro-oncology* 2016; **18**(7): 1002-10.
40. Gousias K, Schramm J, Simon M. The Simpson grading revisited: aggressive surgery and its place in modern meningioma management. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2016: 1-10.
41. Sughrue ME, Kane AJ, Shangari G, et al. The relevance of Simpson Grade I and II resection in modern neurosurgical treatment of World Health Organization Grade I meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2010; **113**(5): 1029-35.
42. Vogelbaum MA, Leland Rogers C, Linskey MA, Mehta MP. Opportunities for clinical research in meningioma. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2010; **99**(3): 417-22.

43. Gezen F, Kahraman S, Canakci Z, Beduk A. Review of 36 cases of spinal cord meningioma. *Spine* 2000; **25**(6): 727-31.
44. Gottfried ON, Gluf W, Quinones-Hinojosa A, Kan P, Schmidt MH. Spinal meningiomas: surgical management and outcome. *Neurosurgical focus* 2003; **14**(6): e2.
45. Kim CH, Chung CK, Lee SH, et al. Long-term recurrence rates after the removal of spinal meningiomas in relation to Simpson grades. *European spine journal : official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society* 2015.
46. Klekamp J, Samii M. Surgical results for spinal meningiomas. *Surgical neurology* 1999; **52**(6): 552-62.
47. Nakamura M, Tsuji O, Fujiyoshi K, et al. Long-term surgical outcomes of spinal meningiomas. *Spine* 2012; **37**(10): E617-23.
48. Tsuda K, Akutsu H, Yamamoto T, Nakai K, Ishikawa E, Matsumura A. Is Simpson grade I removal necessary in all cases of spinal meningioma? Assessment of postoperative recurrence during long-term follow-up. *Neurologia medico-chirurgica* 2014; **54**(11): 907-13.
49. Condra KS, Buatti JM, Mendenhall WM, Friedman WA, Marcus RB, Jr., Rhoton AL. Benign meningiomas: primary treatment selection affects survival. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 1997; **39**(2): 427-36.
50. Dufour H, Muracciole X, Metellus P, Regis J, Chinot O, Grisoli F. Long-term tumor control and functional outcome in patients with cavernous sinus meningiomas treated by radiotherapy with or without previous surgery: is there an alternative to aggressive tumor removal? *Neurosurgery* 2001; **48**(2): 285-94; discussion 94-6.
51. Mendenhall WM, Morris CG, Amdur RJ, Foote KD, Friedman WA. Radiotherapy alone or after subtotal resection for benign skull base meningiomas. *Cancer* 2003; **98**(7): 1473-82.
52. Nutting C, Brada M, Brazil L, et al. Radiotherapy in the treatment of benign meningioma of the skull base. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1999; **90**(5): 823-7.
53. Goldsmith BJ, Wara WM, Wilson CB, Larson DA. Postoperative irradiation for subtotally resected meningiomas. A retrospective analysis of 140 patients treated from 1967 to 1990. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1994; **80**(2): 195-201.
54. Soyuer S, Chang EL, Selek U, Shi W, Maor MH, DeMonte F. Radiotherapy after surgery for benign cerebral meningioma. *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2004; **71**(1): 85-90.
55. Taylor BW, Jr., Marcus RB, Jr., Friedman WA, Ballinger WE, Jr., Million RR. The meningioma controversy: postoperative radiation therapy. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 1988; **15**(2): 299-304.
56. Maire JP, Caudry M, Guerin J, et al. Fractionated radiation therapy in the treatment of intracranial meningiomas: local control, functional efficacy, and tolerance in 91 patients. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 1995; **33**(2): 315-21.
57. Minniti G, Clarke E, Cavallo L, et al. Fractionated stereotactic conformal radiotherapy for large benign skull base meningiomas. *Radiation oncology (London, England)* 2011; **6**: 36.
58. Connell PP, Macdonald RL, Mansur DB, Nicholas MK, Mundt AJ. Tumor size predicts control of benign meningiomas treated with radiotherapy. *Neurosurgery* 1999; **44**(6): 1194-9; discussion 9-200.
59. Milker-Zabel S, Zabel A, Schulz-Ertner D, Schlegel W, Wannemacher M, Debus J. Fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy in patients with benign or atypical intracranial meningioma: long-term experience and prognostic factors. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2005; **61**(3): 809-16.
60. Vendrely V, Maire JP, Darrouzet V, et al. [Fractionated radiotherapy of intracranial meningiomas: 15 years' experience at the Bordeaux University Hospital Center]. *Cancer radiotherapie : journal de la Societe francaise de radiotherapie oncologique* 1999; **3**(4): 311-7.
61. Combs SE, Kalbe A, Nikoghosyan A, et al. Carbon ion radiotherapy performed as re-irradiation using active beam delivery in patients with tumors of the brain, skull base and sacral region.

*Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2011; **98**(1): 63-7.

62. Fokas E, Henzel M, Surber G, Hamm K, Engenhart-Cabillic R. Stereotactic radiation therapy for benign meningioma: long-term outcome in 318 patients. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2014; **89**(3): 569-75.
63. Henzel M, Gross MW, Hamm K, et al. Significant tumor volume reduction of meningiomas after stereotactic radiotherapy: results of a prospective multicenter study. *Neurosurgery* 2006; **59**(6): 1188-94; discussion 94.
64. Tanzler E, Morris CG, Kirwan JM, Amdur RJ, Mendenhall WM. Outcomes of WHO Grade I meningiomas receiving definitive or postoperative radiotherapy. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2011; **79**(2): 508-13.
65. Douw L, Klein M, Fagel SS, et al. Cognitive and radiological effects of radiotherapy in patients with low-grade glioma: long-term follow-up. *The Lancet Neurology* 2009; **8**(9): 810-8.
66. Metellus P, Kapoor S, Kharkar S, et al. Fractionated conformal radiotherapy for management of optic nerve sheath meningiomas: long-term outcomes of tumor control and visual function at a single institution. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2011; **80**(1): 185-92.
67. Combs SE, Adeberg S, Dittmar JO, et al. Skull base meningiomas: Long-term results and patient self-reported outcome in 507 patients treated with fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) or intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT). *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2013; **106**(2): 186-91.
68. Solda F, Wharram B, De Ieso PB, Bonner J, Ashley S, Brada M. Long-term efficacy of fractionated radiotherapy for benign meningiomas. *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2013; **109**(2): 330-4.
69. Boskos C, Feuvret L, Noel G, et al. Combined proton and photon conformal radiotherapy for intracranial atypical and malignant meningioma. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2009; **75**(2): 399-406.
70. Chan AW, Bernstein KD, Adams JA, Parambi RJ, Loeffler JS. Dose escalation with proton radiation therapy for high-grade meningiomas. *Technology in cancer research & treatment* 2012; **11**(6): 607-14.
71. Weber DC, Schneider R, Goitein G, et al. Spot scanning-based proton therapy for intracranial meningioma: long-term results from the Paul Scherrer Institute. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2012; **83**(3): 865-71.
72. Park HJ, Kang HC, Kim IH, et al. The role of adjuvant radiotherapy in atypical meningioma. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2013; **115**(2): 241-7.
73. Aghi MK, Carter BS, Cosgrove GR, et al. Long-term recurrence rates of atypical meningiomas after gross total resection with or without postoperative adjuvant radiation. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **64**(1): 56-60; discussion
74. Komotar RJ, Iorgulescu JB, Raper DM, et al. The role of radiotherapy following gross-total resection of atypical meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2012; **117**(4): 679-86.
75. Mair R, Morris K, Scott I, Carroll TA. Radiotherapy for atypical meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2011; **115**(4): 811-9.
76. Hammouche S, Clark S, Wong AH, Eldridge P, Farah JO. Long-term survival analysis of atypical meningiomas: survival rates, prognostic factors, operative and radiotherapy treatment. *Acta neurochirurgica* 2014; **156**(8): 1475-81.
77. Stessin AM, Schwartz A, Judanin G, et al. Does adjuvant external-beam radiotherapy improve outcomes for nonbenign meningiomas? A Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER)-based analysis. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2012; **117**(4): 669-75.
78. Yoon H, Mehta MP, Perumal K, et al. Atypical meningioma: randomized trials are required to resolve contradictory retrospective results regarding the role of adjuvant radiotherapy. *Journal of cancer research and therapeutics* 2015; **11**(1): 59-66.
79. Dziuk TW, Woo S, Butler EB, et al. Malignant meningioma: an indication for initial aggressive surgery and adjuvant radiotherapy. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 1998; **37**(2): 177-88.

80. Rosenberg LA, Prayson RA, Lee J, et al. Long-term experience with World Health Organization grade III (malignant) meningiomas at a single institution. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2009; **74**(2): 427-32.
81. Jenkinson MD, Javadpour M, Haylock BJ, et al. The ROAM/EORTC-1308 trial: Radiation versus Observation following surgical resection of Atypical Meningioma: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2015; **16**: 519.
82. Song CW, Kim MS, Cho LC, Dusenbery K, Sperduto PW. Radiobiological basis of SBRT and SRS. *International journal of clinical oncology* 2014; **19**(4): 570-8.
83. Rogers L, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Meningiomas: knowledge base, treatment outcomes, and uncertainties. A RANO review. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2015; **122**(1): 4-23.
84. dos Santos MA, de Salcedo JB, Gutierrez Diaz JA, et al. Long-term outcomes of stereotactic radiosurgery for treatment of cavernous sinus meningiomas. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2011; **81**(5): 1436-41.
85. Colombo F, Casentini L, Cavedon C, Scalchi P, Cora S, Francescon P. Cyberknife radiosurgery for benign meningiomas: short-term results in 199 patients. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **64**(2 Suppl): A7-13.
86. Tuniz F, Soltys SG, Choi CY, et al. Multisession cyberknife stereotactic radiosurgery of large, benign cranial base tumors: preliminary study. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **65**(5): 898-907; discussion 87.
87. Jung HW, Yoo H, Paek SH, Choi KS. Long-term outcome and growth rate of subtotally resected petroclival meningiomas: experience with 38 cases. *Neurosurgery* 2000; **46**(3): 567-74; discussion 74-5.
88. Kita Sallabanda MADS, Jose B P Salcedo, Jose A G Diaz,, Felipe A Calvo JS, Hugo Marsiglia. Stereotactic radiosurgery as a salvage treatment option for atypical meningiomas previously submitted to surgical resection. *J Radiosurgery BRT* 2011: 1-7.
89. Samblas J, Luis Lopez Guerra J, Bustos J, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery in patients with multiple intracranial meningiomas. *Journal of BUON : official journal of the Balkan Union of Oncology* 2014; **19**(1): 250-5.
90. Bloch O, Kaur G, Jian BJ, Parsa AT, Barani IJ. Stereotactic radiosurgery for benign meningiomas. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2012; **107**(1): 13-20.
91. Mantle RE, Lach B, Delgado MR, Baeesa S, Belanger G. Predicting the probability of meningioma recurrence based on the quantity of peritumoral brain edema on computerized tomography scanning. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1999; **91**(3): 375-83.
92. Kaur G, Sayegh ET, Larson A, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy for atypical and malignant meningiomas: a systematic review. *Neuro-oncology* 2014; **16**(5): 628-36.
93. Farzin M, Molls M, Kampfer S, et al. Optic toxicity in radiation treatment of meningioma: a retrospective study in 213 patients. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2016; **127**(3): 597-606.
94. Bartolomei M, Bodei L, De Cicco C, et al. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with (90)Y-DOTATOC in recurrent meningioma. *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging* 2009; **36**(9): 1407-16.
95. Kreissl MC, Hanscheid H, Lohr M, et al. Combination of peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with fractionated external beam radiotherapy for treatment of advanced symptomatic meningioma. *Radiation oncology (London, England)* 2012; **7**: 99.
96. Makis W, McCann K, McEwan AJ. Rhabdoid papillary meningioma treated with 177Lu DOTATATE PRRT. *Clinical nuclear medicine* 2015; **40**(3): 237-40.
97. Minutoli F, Amato E, Sindoni A, et al. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy in patients with inoperable meningiomas: our experience and review of the literature. *Cancer biotherapy & radiopharmaceuticals* 2014; **29**(5): 193-9.
98. Seystahl K, Stoecklein V, Schuller U, et al. Somatostatin-receptor-targeted radionuclide therapy for progressive meningioma: benefit linked to 68Ga-DOTATATE/-TOC uptake. *Neuro-oncology* 2016.
99. Bendszus M, Rao G, Burger R, et al. Is there a benefit of preoperative meningioma embolization? *Neurosurgery* 2000; **47**(6): 1306-11; discussion 11-2.

100. Dean BL, Flom RA, Wallace RC, et al. Efficacy of endovascular treatment of meningiomas: evaluation with matched samples. *AJNR American journal of neuroradiology* 1994; **15**(9): 1675-80.
101. Macpherson P. The value of pre-operative embolisation of meningioma estimated subjectively and objectively. *Neuroradiology* 1991; **33**(4): 334-7.
102. Sakamoto N, Ishikawa E, Nakai Y, et al. Preoperative endovascular embolization for hemangioblastoma in the posterior fossa. *Neurologia medico-chirurgica* 2012; **52**(12): 878-84.
103. Carli DF, Sluzewski M, Beute GN, van Rooij WJ. Complications of particle embolization of meningiomas: frequency, risk factors, and outcome. *AJNR American journal of neuroradiology* 2010; **31**(1): 152-4.
104. Shah A, Choudhri O, Jung H, Li G. Preoperative endovascular embolization of meningiomas: update on therapeutic options. *Neurosurgical focus* 2015; **38**(3): E7.
105. Sluzewski M, van Rooij WJ, Lohle PN, Beute GN, Peluso JP. Embolization of meningiomas: comparison of safety between calibrated microspheres and polyvinyl-alcohol particles as embolic agents. *AJNR American journal of neuroradiology* 2013; **34**(4): 727-9.
106. Sawlani V, Browing S, Sawhney IM, Redfern R. Posterior circulation stroke following embolization of glomus tympanicum? relevance of anatomy and anastomoses of ascending pharyngeal artery. A case report. *Interventional neuroradiology : journal of peritherapeutic neuroradiology, surgical procedures and related neurosciences* 2009; **15**(2): 229-36.
107. Furtner J, Schopf V, Seystahl K, et al. Kinetics of tumor size and peritumoral brain edema before, during, and after systemic therapy in recurrent WHO grade II or III meningioma. *Neuro-oncology* 2015.
108. Kaley T, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Historical benchmarks for medical therapy trials in surgery- and radiation-refractory meningioma: a RANO review. *Neuro-oncology* 2014; **16**(6): 829-40.
109. Norden AD, Ligon KL, Hammond SN, et al. Phase II study of monthly pasireotide LAR (SOM230C) for recurrent or progressive meningioma. *Neurology* 2015; **84**(3): 280-6.
110. Ji Y, Rankin C, Grunberg S, et al. Double-Blind Phase III Randomized Trial of the Antiprogestin Agent Mifepristone in the Treatment of Unresectable Meningioma: SWOG S9005. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2015; **33**(34): 4093-8.
111. Chamberlain MC, Tsao-Wei DD, Groshen S. Temozolomide for treatment-resistant recurrent meningioma. *Neurology* 2004; **62**(7): 1210-2.
112. Kaley TJ, Wen P, Schiff D, et al. Phase II trial of sunitinib for recurrent and progressive atypical and anaplastic meningioma. *Neuro-oncology* 2015; **17**(1): 116-21.
113. Lou E, Sumrall AL, Turner S, et al. Bevacizumab therapy for adults with recurrent/progressive meningioma: a retrospective series. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2012; **109**(1): 63-70.
114. Nayak L, Iwamoto FM, Rudnick JD, et al. Atypical and anaplastic meningiomas treated with bevacizumab. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2012; **109**(1): 187-93.
115. Preusser M, Spiegl-Kreinecker S, Lotsch D, et al. Trabectedin has promising antineoplastic activity in high-grade meningioma. *Cancer* 2012; **118**(20): 5038-49.
116. Barbaro NM, Gutin PH, Wilson CB, Sheline GE, Boldrey EB, Wara WM. Radiation therapy in the treatment of partially resected meningiomas. *Neurosurgery* 1987; **20**(4): 525-8.
117. Mirimanoff RO, Dosoretz DE, Linggood RM, Ojemann RG, Martuza RL. Meningioma: analysis of recurrence and progression following neurosurgical resection. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1985; **62**(1): 18-24.
118. Sughrue ME, Sanai N, Shangari G, Parsa AT, Berger MS, McDermott MW. Outcome and survival following primary and repeat surgery for World Health Organization Grade III meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2010; **113**(2): 202-9.



## Appendix:

### Epidemiology and clinical presentation

Meningiomas have the highest incidence rate among all intracranial and intraspinal tumors. In European countries the annual incidence rate of meningiomas is 4.2 per 100,000 individuals<sup>1,2</sup>. The median age at diagnosis is 65 years and incidence increases with age<sup>3</sup>. The majority of intracranial meningiomas are found in the supratentorial compartment, most commonly at the cerebral convexity, along the dural venous sinuses, along the falx or intraventricularly. Skull base meningiomas grow at the sphenoid wing, olfactory groove, clinoid process or petroclival regions. Additional sites include the cerebellopontine angle, the foramen magnum or – less commonly – the optic nerve sheath. Moreover, meningiomas represent 25-45% of intradural spinal tumors<sup>4</sup>. Eighty percent of spinal meningiomas are located in the thoracic spine<sup>5</sup>. Many meningiomas are asymptomatic and diagnosed incidentally. There is no clearly defined critical size for the development of symptoms in general, however, meningiomas that do not exceed 2.5 cm in diameter rarely cause symptoms within 5 years of being discovered<sup>6</sup>. An exception can be smaller meningiomas, growing close to critical structures (such as the optic nerve) or meningiomas with disproportionately large edema or rate of growth. The most common symptoms are epilepsy, or headache for weeks to months, or location-specific symptoms or signs such as unilateral weakness, visual field loss, changes in personality or speech problems. Meningioma patients exhibit diminished neurocognitive function as compared with healthy controls except for intelligence and visuoconstructive skills<sup>7-11</sup>. Neurocognitive functions in patients with meningiomas in the dominant hemisphere (usually left-side) are more compromised than in patients with meningiomas in the non-dominant hemisphere (usually right-side). Furthermore, neuro-cognition in patients with skull base meningiomas is worse than in patients with convexity meningiomas<sup>11</sup>. Meningioma patients do not differ from healthy controls with respect to anxiety or depression<sup>12</sup>. In spinal meningiomas, pain, paraparesis and spinal ataxia are the typical presenting symptoms and signs, reflecting spinal cord compression<sup>5</sup>.

### Pathogenesis and risk factors

Meningiomas are assumed to derive from arachnoid cap cells. The arachnoid mater is the middle part of the meninges whose origin is best described as mesenchymal. Meningiomas exhibit epithelial features such as multiple intercellular gap junctions and expression of the epithelial membrane antigen. They usually occur where meninges are present. However, intraventricular meningioma is an important differential diagnosis for tumors of the lateral ventricles. These tumors are believed to arise from arachnoid cells entrapped in the choroid plexus during organogenesis. Although rare, distant metastases of meningioma to lung and other sites have been described, not only with anaplastic (WHO grade III) meningiomas. Multiplicity of meningioma is observed and clonality has been demonstrated in approximately half of the patients with two spatially separated meningioma manifestations, and in all patients with three or more meningioma manifestations<sup>13</sup>.

Exposure to ionizing radiation has been firmly linked to a higher risk for meningiomas and radiation-associated meningiomas are more likely to be atypical or malignant and multifocal<sup>14-18</sup>. Based on the observations of (i) higher incidences in women of reproductive age, (ii) tumor expression of hormone receptors, (iii) an association with breast cancer and (iv) changes in meningioma size during pregnancy, the menstrual cycle and menopause, a number of studies have sought to link endogenous and exogenous hormone exposure to meningioma growth, without significant correlations<sup>3,19</sup>.

## Diagnostic procedures

### Imaging

Cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scans, when used in combination, allow the diagnosis of intracranial meningioma in most cases<sup>20</sup>. MRI should comprise the sequences T1, T2 spin echo, T2 gradient echo, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), 3D time-of-flight (TOF) and T1 with gadolinium (see figure 2, main text). When the meningioma is located close to a major dural sinus vein, venous MRI angiography should be included to verify its patency. CT may be valuable in conjunction with MRI and should comprise bone window settings. Typically, meningiomas present as solitary round tumors, with close contact to the dura mater and strong enhancement after contrast injection. The typical signal of meningioma is isointense on T1, iso- or hyperintense on FLAIR and with high and homogenous enhancement following gadolinium injection. On T2, the meningeal arteries can sometimes be seen as lines of low signal radiating from the center of the tumor (typical “sunburst” appearance). Thickening of the dura mater at the perimeter of the tumor (*dural tail*) is displayed by T1 with gadolinium<sup>21</sup>. Extra-axial growth can be verified on T2 MRI by CSF interposed between the tumor and the parenchyma<sup>22</sup>. FLAIR and T2 sequences depict edema of the surrounding cerebral parenchyma. CT is valuable for the detection of calcification of varying degrees within the tumor, hyperostosis of adjacent bone and intraosseous tumor growth. Conventional cerebral angiography is no longer used for the diagnosis of meningioma and is restricted as an adjunct to selected cases. If cerebral angiography is performed, it shows a typical tumoral blush in most cases fed by the middle meningeal artery within the aspect of sunburst. Differential diagnoses of meningioma include vestibular schwannoma, if located in the cerebellopontine angle, meningeal metastasis, and hemangiopericytoma, if hypervascularity is seen. Meningiomas may express somatostatin receptor 2 and can be delineated from healthy tissue by positron emission tomography (PET) using peptide ligands [tracers](#) such as (68)Ga-Dotatate or (90)Y-Dotatoc<sup>23,24</sup>.

### Histopathology

The current world health organization (WHO) classification system recognizes 15 different meningioma entities, 9 of which are allotted WHO grade I, 3 WHO grade II and 3 WHO grade III (see Table 1, main text<sup>25</sup>. Some of these subtypes are associated with distinct clinical features: For example, secretory meningioma is frequently accompanied by pronounced peritumoral edema, or

psammomatous meningioma is predominantly seen in the spinal meninges. Over all, the distinction between the 9 WHO grade I meningioma variants is of limited clinical relevance. On the other hand, grading of meningioma is of major clinical importance, because patients with WHO grade II and grade III meningiomas are considered candidates for postsurgical radiotherapy as discussed below. Grading of meningioma depends on mitotic rate as well as presence of brain invasion or specific histological features. In the new WHO classification for central nervous system tumors brain invasion became a criterium to assign a WHO grade II as a single defining feature. Nevertheless, the currently applied parameters for defining the borders between the grades are not entirely satisfactory. While patient cohorts with WHO grade II meningioma generally exhibit shorter intervals to tumor recurrence, there is a considerable number of individual patients with WHO grade I meningiomas with unexpectedly early tumor relapse. Conversely, some patients with WHO grade II meningioma, especially when a complete resection can be achieved, experience a long indolent clinical course even without adjuvant radiotherapy.

### **Molecular pathology**

The current dynamics in the analysis of human tumors with massive parallel sequencing have provided novel insights into molecular mechanisms involved in the formation and progression of meningiomas. Several genes beyond NF2 have been detected as frequently mutated in these tumors - for example KLF4 (exclusively) and TRAF7 (commonly) are mutated in secretory meningioma<sup>26</sup>. NF2 mutations predominate in meningiomas with some spindle cell morphology encompassing fibroblastic, transitional and psammomatous meningioma. AKT1 exhibits the E17K hotspot mutation in a fraction of meningiomas of basal localization and potentially these tumors have actionable targets using specific inhibitors<sup>27,28</sup>. Another gene with recurrent mutations is SMO<sup>29,30</sup>. These mutations seem to follow a pattern, thus creating molecular subgroups with characteristic combinations of mutations. It is expected that a future molecularly based classification will have the potential to direct individualized meningioma-specific therapy (see [Tables 2 and 3](#), main text). Preliminary findings point to TERT mutations, irrespective of WHO grade, being an indicator for more aggressive growth in meningioma<sup>31,32</sup>. Molecular alterations associated with less favorable clinical courses are expected to provide guidance for identifying patients at higher risk for meningioma recurrence or progression and earlier need for targeted intervention. Tumor tissue sampling and storage for future molecular testing should become standard of practice. .

### **Therapeutic strategies**

#### **Observation and decision making**

Meningiomas are a common finding on cranial MRI, and are often discovered incidentally<sup>33</sup>. If a meningioma is diagnosed provisionally by neuroimaging, it must be ascertained if (1) the finding has a clinical correlate, (2) symptoms or signs, if any, may be relieved by treating the tumor, and if (3) the potential benefits from treatment outweigh the associated risks. If the answer is no to any of these

three questions, observation may be the best strategy unless there is diagnostic doubt, necessitating early verification of the diagnosis. Observation is a preferred strategy in many cases of suspected meningioma, especially in small, incidentally discovered tumors. Although class I or II evidence is missing in order to support guidelines for observation rather than therapy, there are numerous retrospective series and several reviews validating this concept<sup>6</sup> (evidence level III). The most important determinant for symptom development is tumor size at diagnosis. A diameter of 2 cm or less is associated with a higher risk of growth, but very few of these tumors become symptomatic within a period of 5 years. Another important parameter for symptom development is a growth rate of more than 10% per year<sup>6</sup>. A meta-analysis of 22 retrospective studies identified calcification and absence of peri-tumoural signal change, specifically edema, as factors associated with slower meningioma growth. Such tumors may be managed by active surveillance using MRI, and treatment should be offered only if they become symptomatic or show growth.

Due to the different nature of meningiomas and the dissimilarity of meningioma patients, therapy of these tumors needs to be individualized. Patients should be counseled about the finding and given advice accordingly. Many physicians may underestimate the long-term sequelae of brain surgery. Van der Vossen et al. reported that 40% of the patients operated for a meningioma experienced cognitive or emotional problems after surgery<sup>34</sup>. If a patient refuses observation as a management strategy treatment may be justified. If one decides to manage a suspected meningioma by observation alone, it has to be agreed who is responsible for patient follow-up. In an ideal setting, this is done by an experienced neurosurgeon or neurooncologist. The patient should receive written information about the need for follow-up, and the potential consequences of not adhering to the follow-up regimen. Annual MRI scans and clinical outpatient consultations are recommended for an initial period assigning the first scan as a reference.

It is uncertain for how long the follow-up of a meningioma should be continued if there is no sign of growth. If a tumor shows significant growth, and in particular if growth leads to new symptoms, treatment is usually indicated. In these cases, surgery is advocated if feasible<sup>35</sup>. In addition or as alternatives, various schedules of radiotherapy, radiosurgery or combination therapies may be treatment options<sup>36</sup>. It is strongly recommended that these patients are discussed in a multidisciplinary panel of neurosurgeons, radiation oncologists and neuro-oncologists. The patient should be informed about the treatment alternatives and the pros and cons of the options should be presented in an unbiased way so as to allow the patient an informed decision about the choice of treatment.

## **Surgery**

Surgery is the treatment of choice for the majority of symptomatic and enlarging meningiomas, serving the dual role of relieving symptoms and mass effect and providing tissue for distinguishing histological type and WHO grade of malignancy (evidence level II, recommendation level B). Surgical risks should be fully discussed with the patients prior to surgery including location-specific risks. There is no evidence that prophylactic antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) reduce the incidence of peri- or post-operative epilepsy. However, certain locations are more prone to seizures e.g. frontal, temporal, and parietal

meningiomas have a higher risk than occipital tumors, and convexity and parasagittal/falx meningiomas have a higher risk than tumors of the skull base<sup>37-39</sup>.

Careful pre-operative planning reduces the risk of post-operative deficits. Attention to venous anatomy is a key factor in successful surgery, particularly for meningiomas involving the venous sinuses. While it is generally safe to divide the anterior third of the sagittal sinus, inadvertent damage to cortical veins and intra-diploic venous drainage can lead to post-operative venous infarction with devastating consequences. Image guidance is now routinely used to position the craniotomy and allows image fusion of multiple data sets that provide information about critical neurovascular structures. Meningiomas that involve the skull base may result in holes in the frontal, sphenoid and ethmoid air sinuses - these holes must be sealed off to prevent post-operative cerebrospinal fluid leaks. Care should also be taken when resecting meningiomas near to the optic apparatus so as not to disrupt blood supply which could result in visual loss. Intra-operative neurophysiological monitoring, e.g. facial nerve and brainstem-evoked potentials, may help to minimize neurological deficits.

The general principles of meningioma surgery are dividing the tumor from its blood supply and internal debulking followed by peripheral dissection. The aim is gross total resection including involved dura and bone, but this is determined by tumor location and size. If tumor location does not permit complete resection without significant neurological deficit, maximum safe resection has to be defined as primary surgical goal. If tumor remnants need to be left, these can be monitored with MRI or treated with post-operative conformal or stereotactic fractionated radiotherapy or radiosurgery, depending on location, size and proximity to critical structures, e.g., cavernous sinus.

Extent of resection (EOR) as defined by the Simpson Grade (see table 4, main text) is an important prognostic factor for risk of tumor recurrence<sup>40</sup>. Even though the relevance and prognostic strength of the Simpson classification has been questioned<sup>41</sup>, a recent series shows that it still has a role in assessing the risk of recurrence<sup>40</sup>. Today, the intraoperative assessment of Simpson grade should be confirmed by postoperative MRI that can be performed within 48 h after surgery or after 3 months to avoid artifacts. In case of incomplete resection or suspected WHO grade II or III meningioma, early MRI within 48 hours should be performed to plan further therapy. In modern neurosurgery, the potential benefit of radical surgical resection must be balanced against the risks of complications and causing neurological deficit. In WHO grade I meningioma, defining EOR as either gross total resection, i.e. no residual solid tumor, or subtotal resection (STR) is an equally good prognostic factor for tumor recurrence<sup>41</sup>. Several clinical research consortia including the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) and the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) have adopted these definitions for use in prospective clinical trials<sup>42</sup>.

Regarding spinal meningiomas, the majority of data supports surgical strategies striving for completeness of excision. Recurrence rates of spinal meningiomas after surgical resection have been reported in the range of 1.3 – 14.7%<sup>43-46</sup>. There is consensus that incomplete resection is a risk factor for recurrence but it is unclear whether Simpson grade I resection achieves better long-term outcome than Simpson grade II resection<sup>43,46-48</sup>. Most papers report lower recurrence rates after

resection of the involved dura but at the cost of a higher complication rate, particularly for meningiomas located unfavorably or with prominent calcification<sup>45</sup>. Therefore, Simpson grade I resection should be aimed for in all cases of spinal meningioma with a favorable location, but only if this can be achieved without compromising neurological function and if a safe and uncomplicated dural repair is feasible. For patients with ventrally located meningiomas or with calcified dural attachment, excision of the dura should not be the goal – coagulation of the dural attachment is sufficient.

In conclusion, the decision for surgery versus observation should balance the benefit of surgery, i.e. tumor removal versus potential long-term sequelae of the surgical treatment<sup>34</sup>.

### **Radiotherapy**

External beam radiation therapy (RT) has been used extensively in patients with meningioma for the following indications: (i) in tumor locations not amenable to surgery, (ii) in tumors that are not completely resected, (iii) in atypical and malignant tumors and (iv) in recurrent tumors. No randomized or prospectively controlled studies have evaluated tumor control and survival after conventional RT. Nevertheless, retrospective studies of patients with residual or recurrent WHO grade I meningiomas report a local control rate of 75-90% at 10 years<sup>49-53</sup>. In a series of 82 patients with skull base meningiomas treated with conventional RT, Nutting et al. reported 5-year and 10-year local tumor control rates of 92% and 83%, respectively<sup>52</sup>. In another series of 101 patients treated with 3-dimensional (3D) conformal RT, Mendenhall et al. reported local control of 95% at 5 years and 92% at 10 and 15 years, respectively, and cause-specific survival rates of 97% and 92%, respectively<sup>51</sup>. The reported control and survival after subtotal resection and RT are similar to those observed after complete resection, and better than that achieved with incomplete resection alone<sup>54,55</sup> (evidence level III, recommendation level B).

Large skull base meningiomas may present a therapeutic challenge. Also in these tumors, RT has been suggested as an effective treatment. A 5-year tumor control in the range of 90 to 97% has been reported for skull base meningiomas up to 5 cm in greatest dimension<sup>53,56,57</sup>; however, meningiomas larger than 5 cm in size seem to be associated with worse local control<sup>58,59</sup>. There is little evidence that timing of RT is important, as local control and survival rates are similar whether the treatment is given as a part of the primary treatment or at the time of recurrence<sup>51-53,60</sup>. In most series, the administered dose ranged between 50 and 57 Gy delivered in daily fractions of 1.8-2 Gy. The tumor control rates were similar for doses of 50-55 Gy or > 55 Gy<sup>51,53,57,59-64</sup>. Doses below 50 Gy were associated with higher, mostly local recurrence rates<sup>51,60</sup>.

There is a significant long-term risk of cognitive impairment after RT to the brain<sup>65</sup>. In order to spare tumor-surrounding sensitive neurovascular structures, technical advances have enabled administration of fractionated RT by the use of intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) and fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT). FSRT has shown to improve symptoms within 1-3 months after treatment<sup>66</sup>. Using FSRT with median doses of 57 Gy, Milker-Zabel et al. reported a 10-

year local control of 89% in 317 patients with either skull base or intracranial meningiomas, and similar tumor control rates have been observed in other series of FSRT<sup>59,62,67,68</sup>. Combs et al. evaluated the outcomes of 506 patients with skull base meningiomas who received FSRT (n =376) or IMRT (n=131), reporting a similar local control of 91% at 10 years for patients with WHO grade I meningiomas<sup>67</sup>. Thus, both techniques are probably effective as primary and salvage treatment for meningiomas, with a local control at 5 and 10 years similar to that reported with conformal RT. Particle therapies like proton and carbon ion irradiation allow a high dose deposition on the tumor providing very low doses to the surrounding adjacent tissues via the "Bragg Peak". Irradiation and re-irradiation of meningioma using protons or carbon ions as stand-alone therapies or in combination with photon therapy have been reported to be well tolerated and to allow dose escalation, particularly in WHO grade II and III meningioma, showing good local control rates<sup>61,69-71</sup>. There is some evidence that dose escalation >60 Gy or even 65 Gy could lead to a better cause specific survival in patients with atypical and malignant meningioma<sup>69</sup>. However, proton therapy remains to be evaluated in prospective clinical trials.

The role of RT for WHO grade II meningiomas, specifically its timing, remains unclear. In a series of 83 patients of whom 66% had undergone GTR, Park et al. reported a 5-year tumor control of 59% versus 44% with and without postoperative RT<sup>72</sup>. Improved progression-free survival rates after postoperative RT have been observed in comparative retrospective series<sup>73-75</sup>. On the contrary, other studies showed no advantages in terms of progression-free survival for adjuvant RT<sup>76-78</sup>. For WHO grade III meningiomas, postoperative RT using doses of 55-60 Gy in 1.8-2.0 Gy daily fractions is an established treatment by consensus. There is a trend toward longer survival for patients who had received adjuvant RT after surgery compared to those treated with surgery alone<sup>79,80</sup>.

Current clinical trials address the question of dose: In the RTOG 0539 trial, "intermediate risk" meningiomas (i.e. recurrent WHO grade I or WHO grade II after GTR) are treated by RT with 54 Gy in 30 fractions after GTR, while "high risk meningioma" (i.e. WHO grade II recurrent disease, WHO grade II after STR and all WHO grade III) receive up to 60 Gy (NCT00895622). The EORTC 22042-26042 trial evaluated the efficacy of high-dose radiotherapy (RT) in atypical/malignant meningioma (NCT00626730). In this phase II study, WHO grade II and grade III tumors post GTR are irradiated with 60 Gy in 30 fractions. After STR, 60 Gy plus a 10 Gy boost on the remaining tumor volume are delivered. The ROAM / EORTC 1308 trial (ISRCTN71502099) is a multicenter, phase III, randomized controlled trial that is currently recruiting patients and will answer the question whether early adjuvant RT reduces recurrence compared with active monitoring in patients, who have undergone GTR of newly diagnosed atypical meningioma. <sup>81</sup>.

### **Radiosurgery**

In cases that are associated with increased surgical risk, radiosurgery by gamma knife, cyber knife or other types of linear accelerator can be regarded as an effective alternative for radiologically diagnosed meningiomas. The dose used for radiosurgery in meningiomas is highly dependent upon the technique applied, the prescribed isodose, the proximity of neurovascular structures at risk, as well as the size and configuration of the tumor. Generally, a single coverage dose of 14 to 16 Gy is

recommended<sup>77</sup>. Besides direct cellular and toxic effects on tumor cells, the impact of a single high dose irradiation on nutritive microvessels seems to be relevant<sup>82</sup>. Radiosurgery may be indicated – even as first therapeutic option - in particular situations like tumor location in the cavernous sinus or the clivus, multiple meningiomas, partially resected tumors, recurrent meningioma, or in cases where comorbidities preclude open surgery. In these situations, radiosurgery can be used as an exclusive therapeutic option based on neuroimaging alone or as part of a combination therapy together with a planned partial surgical resection. A series of 35 retrospective studies showed 5 year progression free survival (PFS) of 86-100 percent after primary radiosurgery<sup>83</sup>. A study analyzing the outcome of 79 patients with cavernous sinus meningiomas treated by radiosurgery alone revealed a tumor control rate of 89.8% at 10 years<sup>84</sup>. A similar excellent clinical outcome and low toxicity have been reported in a few series with the use of multi-session radiosurgery at doses of 18-25 Gy delivered in 2 to 5 daily fractions in patients with meningiomas larger than 2-5-3-0 cm in size and/or situated close to critical structures<sup>85,86</sup>. Although promising, the limited numbers of patients and follow-up time does not allow drawing definitive conclusions on the use of hypofractionated regimens in routine clinical practice as an alternative to conventionally fractionated RT. Petroclival meningiomas or sphenoid meningiomas are potential candidates for treatment strategies combining surgery and radiosurgery<sup>49,87</sup>. In the latter tumors, combination therapy allows surgical decompression of the optic apparatus and irradiation of tumor remnants in the cavernous sinus. Radiosurgery has also been selected for treatment of recurrent atypical meningiomas. The overall survival of these patients was 87% after 5 years and 75% after 10 years<sup>88</sup>. Multiple meningiomas and intracranial meningiomatosis might be an indication for radiosurgery, if there is no more treatment potential for surgery or fractionated RT<sup>89</sup>.

There are no prospective randomized data comparing fractionated RT and radiosurgery. The control rates 5 and 10 years after RT or radiosurgery for WHO grade I meningiomas are very similar. Ten year PFS after RT using FSRT or IMRT was reported as 91% whereas 83 to 97% are documented for radiosurgery<sup>67,90</sup>. Radiosurgery allows treatment of a circumscribed volume using a single dose, therefore achieving a high patient comfort. On the other hand, the use of radiosurgery is limited to small and non-infiltrative disease and locations distant from sensitive critical structures such as visual pathways because of the radiosensitivity of late reacting normal tissue to dose per fraction. In these indications, fractionated RT that sometimes can be performed using the same machines is preferred to radiosurgery. In case of infiltrative meningioma growth or WHO grade II or III meningiomas, which have a high recurrence rate, fractionated techniques seem superior<sup>91</sup>.

Any decision for RT should take into account the long-term side effects of RT, and these should be discussed with the patient. The incidence of treatment toxicity ranges from 3.4% to 16.7%. Neurocognitive impairment has been described in 53% of cases<sup>65</sup>. Although blindness due to involvement of the neuro-optic structures into the treatment field has been reported to occur in 5% of patients, newer studies show this risk to be significantly lower, probably due to the modern treatment techniques and doses<sup>92,93</sup>. If the pituitary gland receives irradiation, there may be changes in hormonal levels; although hypogonadism is relatively rare (up to 6%), hypopituitarism is reported in up to 50% of cases, and early involvement of the endocrinologist is advisable<sup>92</sup>.

Kommentiert [RG1]: Reference Douw fehlt immer noch!!!



## Peptide Receptor Radionuclide Therapy (PRRT)

Some meningiomas show prominent expression of somatostatin receptors and peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) using radiopeptides targeting somatostatin receptors such as 90Y-DOTATOC ([90Y-DOTA0, Tyr3]-octreotide), 177Lu-DOTATATE ([177Lu-DOTA0, Tyr3]-octreotate) and 111In-Pentetreotide has been evaluated in small series or singular cases of somatostatin receptor-positive meningiomas. PRRT was well tolerated and some disease stabilizations and few partial responses were reported. However, the available evidence is anecdotal and well designed studies are needed to evaluate the role of PRRT in meningiomas. In the meantime, PRRT should preferentially be offered in the framework of clinical studies<sup>94-98</sup>.

## Embolization

Preoperative embolisation of meningiomas aims at reducing blood loss during surgical resection<sup>99-</sup>

<sup>101</sup>. Indications for embolisation of meningiomas vary substantially depending on the neurosurgical team<sup>102</sup>. There is no controlled study that shows better clinical outcomes of surgery if preceded by pre-operative embolisation. Consequently, there is no general indication for embolisation of meningioma; individual indications are assessed on a case by case basis by each team. The principle is to first and foremost occlude the afferent arteries that cannot be reached by the surgeon when accessing the tumor. This is performed by free flow particle injection or coil embolisation within 24 hours of planned surgery. Complications may arise when the neuroradiologist tries to distally guide the embolus into the capillary bed of the tumor. This can result in tumor hemorrhage, erratic embolisation through anastomosis or cranial nerves palsy<sup>103,104</sup>. Four different anatomical scenarios can be discussed: (i) In the very common convexity meningiomas, there is infrequently an indication for preoperative embolisation. If an embolisation is indicated, 100 to 300 µm particles are injected into the middle meningeal artery. These small particles allow a more distal penetration into the tumor bed. This results in a more substantial necrotic effect on the tumor, but their use also entails a higher risk of intratumoral hemorrhage<sup>103,105</sup>. A controlled study indicated that preoperative embolisation resulted in a significant reduction of perioperative blood loss<sup>99</sup>. Reported complications are tumor hemorrhage and ischemia due to erratic movement of the emboli. The incidence of complications varies from 0 to 9%. (ii) Olfactory meningiomas are generally vascularized by ethmoidal arteries. Since these are branches of the ophthalmic artery implicating a risk of jeopardizing vision by embolisation, these tumors should never be embolized. (iii) Meningiomas of the cavernous sinus can be subjected to preoperative embolisation. However, the afferent arteries are small-sized dural arteries emanating from the carotid siphon which, aside from rare cases, are not amenable to selective catheterization. Therefore, if an indication for embolisation of a cavernous sinus meningioma is made in very rare cases due to a lack of therapeutic alternatives, the internal carotid artery would need to be occluded after testing patency of the Circle of Willis. (iv) Petroclival meningiomas can be embolized via the meningeal trunk of the ascending pharyngeal artery. This artery cannot be controlled surgically during lateral approaches to the clivus, the petrous bone or the cerebellopontine angle<sup>106</sup>.

Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben

Formatiert: Nicht Hervorheben

## Pharmacotherapy and experimental therapies

Pharmacotherapy of meningiomas is typically considered in the following main patient populations: (i) patients with recurrent or progressive meningiomas of all tumor grades in whom surgical resection or RT are no longer feasible, and (ii) patients with metastatic meningioma. Principally, systemic therapy appears to be able to inhibit meningioma growth to some extent<sup>107</sup>. A variety of drugs have been studied in meningiomas. However, the interpretation of most of the available studies is limited by several factors, in particular small patient numbers, the retrospective design of most studies, the heterogeneity of patient populations with regard to tumor type and prior therapies, the lack of comparator treatment arms or reliable historical benchmark activity parameters and the lack of standardized response criteria. Thus, pharmacotherapy of meningioma has so far an unclear benefit and has to be considered experimental. Overall, inclusion of patients with meningiomas in clinical trials evaluating novel treatment approaches is recommended. Depending on ongoing molecular classification of meningiomas, targeted therapies are evolving (see Table 3, main text).

### WHO grade I meningiomas

Hydroxyurea, temozolomide, irinotecan, interferon-alpha, sandostatin LAR, pasireotide LAR, imatinib, erlotinib and gefitinib have been studied in retrospective and single-arm phase II studies in WHO grade I meningiomas that have failed surgical resection and radiotherapy<sup>108,109</sup>. Mifepristone was studied in a randomized phase III trial but failed to show an advantage over placebo<sup>110</sup>. The PFS-6 rates in these studies ranged from 0% to 67%, while median OS times were only inconsistently reported and ranged from 7 to 13 months<sup>108</sup>. The lack of clear data on the natural course and the uncontrolled character of these studies preclude definite conclusions. Based on the available data, none of the evaluated drugs showed clear signs of clinically relevant activity sufficient to recommend them for standard practice clinical use. Notably, temozolomide is not active in meningioma<sup>111</sup>.

### WHO grade II and III meningiomas

Retrospective studies and small prospective studies have evaluated a range of drugs including hydroxyurea, cyclophosphamide/adriamycin/vincristine chemotherapy, interferon-alpha, megestrol acetate, medroxy-progesterone acetate, octreotide, sandostatin LAR, pasireotide LAR, imatinib, erlotinib, gefitinib, vatalanib, sunitinib and bevacizumab in patients with WHO grade II and III meningiomas<sup>108</sup>. PFS-6 rates ranged from 0% to 64% and median OS times from 6 to 33 months in patients progressing after surgical resection and radiotherapy<sup>108</sup>. The most promising results have been reported for bevacizumab, vatalanib and sunitinib, all drugs with anti-angiogenic properties<sup>107,108,112-114</sup>. These results need to be confirmed in prospective controlled trials, before clinical use of these compounds in patients with WHO grade II and III meningiomas can be recommended. An ongoing EORTC phase II trial (NCT02234050) explores the efficacy of trabectedin, a tetrahydroisoquinoline that has shown promising activity in recurrent WHO grade II and grade III meningiomas<sup>115</sup>.

## Surveillance and follow up of meningiomas

There is only little data available on the best follow-up schedule for meningiomas. Therefore, the following recommendations are based more on expert consensus opinion rather than evidence.

An experienced neurosurgeon or neurooncologist should be in charge for the follow-up. This must be accompanied in special cases by additional specialists, e.g., an ophthalmologist should closely monitor the visual status in case of a tuberculum sellae meningioma or an audiologist should monitor the hearing level in case of a cerebellopontine angle tumor. The follow-up intervals should depend not only from resection status, size and location of the tumor, but also age and the general and neurological status of the patient.

For small, asymptomatic meningiomas we suggest MRI with contrast medium 6 months after diagnosis and then annually, as long as the patient remains asymptomatic. After five years this interval can be doubled. In patients where the identification of tumor progression has no clinical relevance or consequence, e.g. patients with limited life expectancy due to high age or severe co-morbidities, controls may be omitted.

Monitoring after initial treatment should depend on the extent of resection and grading of the tumor. Even for WHO I meningiomas resected totally, the 10-year recurrence rate is reported up to 39% and thus more common than previously thought. The EOR should be controlled with a baseline MRI either within 48 hours after the operation or after 3 months. Thereafter, we suggest annual MRI controls for the first five years and then biannually.

If resection is known to be incomplete, EOR should be documented by early postoperative MRI within 48 hours. For WHO grade I tumors after STR, the 10-year progression rates vary between 55 and 100% suggesting a more vigilant long-term follow-up<sup>116,117</sup>. For those cases, MRI at 6 and 12 months is recommended, then annually.

The course of patients with WHO grade II meningiomas might vary within a wide range. The 5-year recurrence/progression rates are reported as 30% and 40% after GTR and STR, respectively<sup>73,76</sup>. To monitor these tumors, we suggest an early postoperative MRI within 48 hours as a basis for further observation. Follow-up MRI should be done every 6 months for 5 years, then annually.

WHO grade III meningiomas are aggressive tumors with very poor local control, even after multimodal treatment. In the recent studies utilizing the WHO 2007 grading scheme, the 5-year-PFS ranged from 12 to 57%, even after resection and RT. For these tumors very close follow-up is recommended<sup>118</sup>.

After the initial, early post-treatment MRI, cranial imaging should be routinely done every 6 months, in rapidly growing cases every 3 months.

## References

1. Woehrer A, Hackl M, Waldhor T, et al. Relative survival of patients with non-malignant central nervous system tumours: a descriptive study by the Austrian Brain Tumour Registry. *British journal of cancer* 2014; **110**(2): 286-96.
2. Zouaoui S, Darlix A, Rigau V, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of 13,038 newly diagnosed and histologically confirmed meningiomas in France: 2006-2010. *Neuro-Chirurgie* 2015.
3. Saraf S, McCarthy BJ, Villano JL. Update on meningiomas. *The oncologist* 2011; **16**(11): 1604-13.
4. Sun SQ, Cai C, Ravindra VM, et al. Simpson Grade I-III Resection of Spinal Atypical (World Health Organization Grade II) Meningiomas is Associated With Symptom Resolution and Low Recurrence. *Neurosurgery* 2015; **76**(6): 739-46.
5. Goldbrunner R. Intradural extramedullary tumors. In: Tonn J, ed. *Neuro-oncology of CNS tumors*: Springer; 2006: 635-45.
6. Sughrue ME, Rutkowski MJ, Aranda D, Barani IJ, McDermott MW, Parsa AT. Treatment decision making based on the published natural history and growth rate of small meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2010; **113**(5): 1036-42.
7. Tucha O, Smely C, Lange KW. Effects of surgery on cognitive functioning of elderly patients with intracranial meningioma. *British journal of neurosurgery* 2001; **15**(2): 184-8.
8. Tucha O, Smely C, Preier M, Becker G, Paul GM, Lange KW. Preoperative and postoperative cognitive functioning in patients with frontal meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2003; **98**(1): 21-31.
9. van Nieuwenhuizen D, Klein M, Stalpers LJ, Leenstra S, Heimans JJ, Reijneveld JC. Differential effect of surgery and radiotherapy on neurocognitive functioning and health-related quality of life in WHO grade I meningioma patients. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2007; **84**(3): 271-8.
10. Waagemans ML, van Nieuwenhuizen D, Dijkstra M, et al. Long-term impact of cognitive deficits and epilepsy on quality of life in patients with low-grade meningiomas. *Neurosurgery* 2011; **69**(1): 72-8; discussion 8-9.
11. Dijkstra M, van Nieuwenhuizen D, Stalpers LJ, et al. Late neurocognitive sequelae in patients with WHO grade I meningioma. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry* 2009; **80**(8): 910-5.
12. Pringle AM, Taylor R, Whittle IR. Anxiety and depression in patients with an intracranial neoplasm before and after tumour surgery. *British journal of neurosurgery* 1999; **13**(1): 46-51.
13. Stangl AP, Wellenreuther R, Lenartz D, et al. Clonality of multiple meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1997; **86**(5): 853-8.
14. Al-Mefty O, Topsakal C, Pravdenkova S, Sawyer JR, Harrison MJ. Radiation-induced meningiomas: clinical, pathological, cytokinetic, and cytogenetic characteristics. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2004; **100**(6): 1002-13.
15. Preston-Martin S, Mack W, Henderson BE. Risk factors for gliomas and meningiomas in males in Los Angeles County. *Cancer research* 1989; **49**(21): 6137-43.
16. Rubinstein AB, Shalit MN, Cohen ML, Zandbank U, Reichenthal E. Radiation-induced cerebral meningioma: a recognizable entity. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1984; **61**(5): 966-71.
17. Sadamori N, Shibata S, Mine M, et al. Incidence of intracranial meningiomas in Nagasaki atomic-bomb survivors. *International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer* 1996; **67**(3): 318-22.
18. Sadetzki S, Chetrit A, Freedman L, Stovall M, Modan B, Novikov I. Long-term follow-up for brain tumor development after childhood exposure to ionizing radiation for tinea capitis. *Radiation research* 2005; **163**(4): 424-32.
19. Custer B, Longstreth WT, Jr., Phillips LE, Koepsell TD, Van Belle G. Hormonal exposures and the risk of intracranial meningioma in women: a population-based case-control study. *BMC cancer* 2006; **6**: 152.
20. Zhang H, Rodiger LA, Shen T, Miao J, Oudkerk M. Preoperative subtyping of meningiomas by perfusion MR imaging. *Neuroradiology* 2008; **50**(10): 835-40.

21. Takeguchi T, Miki H, Shimizu T, et al. The dural tail of intracranial meningiomas on fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery images. *Neuroradiology* 2004; **46**(2): 130-5.
22. Alvernia JE, Sindou MP. Preoperative neuroimaging findings as a predictor of the surgical plane of cleavage: prospective study of 100 consecutive cases of intracranial meningioma. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2004; **100**(3): 422-30.
23. Collamati F, Pepe A, Bellini F, et al. Toward radioguided surgery with beta- decays: uptake of a somatostatin analogue, DOTATOC, in meningioma and high-grade glioma. *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 2015; **56**(1): 3-8.
24. Rachinger W, Stoecklein VM, Terpolilli NA, et al. Increased 68Ga-DOTATATE uptake in PET imaging discriminates meningioma and tumor-free tissue. *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 2015; **56**(3): 347-53.
25. Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. *Acta neuropathologica* 2016; **131**(6): 803-20.
26. Reuss DE, Piro RM, Jones DT, et al. Secretory meningiomas are defined by combined KLF4 K409Q and TRAF7 mutations. *Acta neuropathologica* 2013; **125**(3): 351-8.
27. Weller M RP, Sahm F, Burghardt I, Schuknecht B, Rushing ER, Regli L, Lindemann JP, von Deimling A. Durable control of metastatic AKT1-mutant WHO-grade I meningothelial meningioma by the AKT inhibitor, AZD5363. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2016.
28. Sahm F, Bissel J, Koelsche C, et al. AKT1E17K mutations cluster with meningothelial and transitional meningiomas and can be detected by SFRP1 immunohistochemistry. *Acta neuropathologica* 2013; **126**(5): 757-62.
29. Brastianos PK, Horowitz PM, Santagata S, et al. Genomic sequencing of meningiomas identifies oncogenic SMO and AKT1 mutations. *Nature genetics* 2013; **45**(3): 285-9.
30. Clark VE, Erson-Omay EZ, Serin A, et al. Genomic analysis of non-NF2 meningiomas reveals mutations in TRAF7, KLF4, AKT1, and SMO. *Science (New York, NY)* 2013; **339**(6123): 1077-80.
31. Goutagny S, Nault JC, Mallet M, Henin D, Rossi JZ, Kalamarides M. High incidence of activating TERT promoter mutations in meningiomas undergoing malignant progression. *Brain pathology (Zurich, Switzerland)* 2014; **24**(2): 184-9.
32. Sahm F, Schimpf D, Olar A, et al. TERT Promoter Mutations and Risk of Recurrence in Meningioma. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2016; **108**(5).
33. Vernooij MW, Ikram MA, Tanghe HL, et al. Incidental findings on brain MRI in the general population. *The New England journal of medicine* 2007; **357**(18): 1821-8.
34. van der Vossen S, Schepers VP, Berkelbach van der Sprenkel JW, Visser-Meily JM, Post MW. Cognitive and emotional problems in patients after cerebral meningioma surgery. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine* 2014; **46**(5): 430-7.
35. Rogers L, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Meningiomas: knowledge base, treatment outcomes, and uncertainties. A RANO review. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2014: 1-20.
36. Seifert V. Clinical management of petroclival meningiomas and the eternal quest for preservation of quality of life: personal experiences over a period of 20 years. *Acta neurochirurgica* 2010; **152**(7): 1099-116.
37. Chaichana KL, Pendleton C, Zaidi H, et al. Seizure control for patients undergoing meningioma surgery. *World neurosurgery* 2013; **79**(3-4): 515-24.
38. Weston J, Greenhalgh J, Marson AG. Antiepileptic drugs as prophylaxis for post-craniotomy seizures. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews* 2015; **3**: CD007286.
39. Wirsching HG, Morel C, Gmur C, et al. Predicting outcome of epilepsy after meningioma resection. *Neuro-oncology* 2016; **18**(7): 1002-10.
40. Gousias K, Schramm J, Simon M. The Simpson grading revisited: aggressive surgery and its place in modern meningioma management. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2016: 1-10.
41. Sughrue ME, Kane AJ, Shangari G, et al. The relevance of Simpson Grade I and II resection in modern neurosurgical treatment of World Health Organization Grade I meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2010; **113**(5): 1029-35.

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)

42. Vogelbaum MA, Leland Rogers C, Linskey MA, Mehta MP. Opportunities for clinical research in meningioma. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2010; **99**(3): 417-22.
43. Gezen F, Kahraman S, Canakci Z, Beduk A. Review of 36 cases of spinal cord meningioma. *Spine* 2000; **25**(6): 727-31.
44. Gottfried ON, Gluf W, Quinones-Hinojosa A, Kan P, Schmidt MH. Spinal meningiomas: surgical management and outcome. *Neurosurgical focus* 2003; **14**(6): e2.
45. Kim CH, Chung CK, Lee SH, et al. Long-term recurrence rates after the removal of spinal meningiomas in relation to Simpson grades. *European spine journal : official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society* 2015.
46. Klekamp J, Samii M. Surgical results for spinal meningiomas. *Surgical neurology* 1999; **52**(6): 552-62.
47. Nakamura M, Tsuji O, Fujiyoshi K, et al. Long-term surgical outcomes of spinal meningiomas. *Spine* 2012; **37**(10): E617-23.
48. Tsuda K, Akutsu H, Yamamoto T, Nakai K, Ishikawa E, Matsumura A. Is Simpson grade I removal necessary in all cases of spinal meningioma? Assessment of postoperative recurrence during long-term follow-up. *Neurologia medico-chirurgica* 2014; **54**(11): 907-13.
49. Condra KS, Buatti JM, Mendenhall WM, Friedman WA, Marcus RB, Jr., Rhoton AL. Benign meningiomas: primary treatment selection affects survival. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 1997; **39**(2): 427-36.
50. Dufour H, Muracciole X, Metellus P, Regis J, Chinot O, Grisoli F. Long-term tumor control and functional outcome in patients with cavernous sinus meningiomas treated by radiotherapy with or without previous surgery: is there an alternative to aggressive tumor removal? *Neurosurgery* 2001; **48**(2): 285-94; discussion 94-6.
51. Mendenhall WM, Morris CG, Amdur RJ, Foote KD, Friedman WA. Radiotherapy alone or after subtotal resection for benign skull base meningiomas. *Cancer* 2003; **98**(7): 1473-82.
52. Nutting C, Brada M, Brazil L, et al. Radiotherapy in the treatment of benign meningioma of the skull base. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1999; **90**(5): 823-7.
53. Goldsmith BJ, Wara WM, Wilson CB, Larson DA. Postoperative irradiation for subtotally resected meningiomas. A retrospective analysis of 140 patients treated from 1967 to 1990. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1994; **80**(2): 195-201.
54. Soyuer S, Chang EL, Selek U, Shi W, Maor MH, DeMonte F. Radiotherapy after surgery for benign cerebral meningioma. *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2004; **71**(1): 85-90.
55. Taylor BW, Jr., Marcus RB, Jr., Friedman WA, Ballinger WE, Jr., Million RR. The meningioma controversy: postoperative radiation therapy. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 1988; **15**(2): 299-304.
56. Maire JP, Caudry M, Guerin J, et al. Fractionated radiation therapy in the treatment of intracranial meningiomas: local control, functional efficacy, and tolerance in 91 patients. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 1995; **33**(2): 315-21.
57. Minniti G, Clarke E, Cavallo L, et al. Fractionated stereotactic conformal radiotherapy for large benign skull base meningiomas. *Radiation oncology (London, England)* 2011; **6**: 36.
58. Connell PP, Macdonald RL, Mansur DB, Nicholas MK, Mundt AJ. Tumor size predicts control of benign meningiomas treated with radiotherapy. *Neurosurgery* 1999; **44**(6): 1194-9; discussion 9-200.
59. Milker-Zabel S, Zabel A, Schulz-Ertner D, Schlegel W, Wannemacher M, Debus J. Fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy in patients with benign or atypical intracranial meningioma: long-term experience and prognostic factors. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2005; **61**(3): 809-16.
60. Vendrely V, Maire JP, Darrouzet V, et al. [Fractionated radiotherapy of intracranial meningiomas: 15 years' experience at the Bordeaux University Hospital Center]. *Cancer radiotherapie : journal de la Societe francaise de radiotherapie oncologique* 1999; **3**(4): 311-7.

61. Combs SE, Kalbe A, Nikoghosyan A, et al. Carbon ion radiotherapy performed as re-irradiation using active beam delivery in patients with tumors of the brain, skull base and sacral region. *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2011; **98**(1): 63-7.
62. Fokas E, Henzel M, Surber G, Hamm K, Engenhardt-Cabillic R. Stereotactic radiation therapy for benign meningioma: long-term outcome in 318 patients. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2014; **89**(3): 569-75.
63. Henzel M, Gross MW, Hamm K, et al. Significant tumor volume reduction of meningiomas after stereotactic radiotherapy: results of a prospective multicenter study. *Neurosurgery* 2006; **59**(6): 1188-94; discussion 94.
64. Tanzler E, Morris CG, Kirwan JM, Amdur RJ, Mendenhall WM. Outcomes of WHO Grade I meningiomas receiving definitive or postoperative radiotherapy. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2011; **79**(2): 508-13.
65. Douw L, Klein M, Fagel SS, et al. Cognitive and radiological effects of radiotherapy in patients with low-grade glioma: long-term follow-up. *The Lancet Neurology* 2009; **8**(9): 810-8.
66. Metellus P, Kapoor S, Kharkar S, et al. Fractionated conformal radiotherapy for management of optic nerve sheath meningiomas: long-term outcomes of tumor control and visual function at a single institution. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2011; **80**(1): 185-92.
67. Combs SE, Adeberg S, Dittmar JO, et al. Skull base meningiomas: Long-term results and patient self-reported outcome in 507 patients treated with fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) or intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT). *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2013; **106**(2): 186-91.
68. Solda F, Wharram B, De Ieso PB, Bonner J, Ashley S, Brada M. Long-term efficacy of fractionated radiotherapy for benign meningiomas. *Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology* 2013; **109**(2): 330-4.
69. Boskos C, Feuvret L, Noel G, et al. Combined proton and photon conformal radiotherapy for intracranial atypical and malignant meningioma. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2009; **75**(2): 399-406.
70. Chan AW, Bernstein KD, Adams JA, Parambi RJ, Loeffler JS. Dose escalation with proton radiation therapy for high-grade meningiomas. *Technology in cancer research & treatment* 2012; **11**(6): 607-14.
71. Weber DC, Schneider R, Goitein G, et al. Spot scanning-based proton therapy for intracranial meningioma: long-term results from the Paul Scherrer Institute. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2012; **83**(3): 865-71.
72. Park HJ, Kang HC, Kim IH, et al. The role of adjuvant radiotherapy in atypical meningioma. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2013; **115**(2): 241-7.
73. Aghi MK, Carter BS, Cosgrove GR, et al. Long-term recurrence rates of atypical meningiomas after gross total resection with or without postoperative adjuvant radiation. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **64**(1): 56-60; discussion
74. Komotar RJ, Iorgulescu JB, Raper DM, et al. The role of radiotherapy following gross-total resection of atypical meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2012; **117**(4): 679-86.
75. Mair R, Morris K, Scott I, Carroll TA. Radiotherapy for atypical meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2011; **115**(4): 811-9.
76. Hammouche S, Clark S, Wong AH, Eldridge P, Farah JO. Long-term survival analysis of atypical meningiomas: survival rates, prognostic factors, operative and radiotherapy treatment. *Acta neurochirurgica* 2014; **156**(8): 1475-81.
77. Stessin AM, Schwartz A, Judanin G, et al. Does adjuvant external-beam radiotherapy improve outcomes for nonbenign meningiomas? A Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER)-based analysis. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2012; **117**(4): 669-75.
78. Yoon H, Mehta MP, Perumal K, et al. Atypical meningioma: randomized trials are required to resolve contradictory retrospective results regarding the role of adjuvant radiotherapy. *Journal of cancer research and therapeutics* 2015; **11**(1): 59-66.

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)

79. Dziuk TW, Woo S, Butler EB, et al. Malignant meningioma: an indication for initial aggressive surgery and adjuvant radiotherapy. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 1998; **37**(2): 177-88.
80. Rosenberg LA, Prayson RA, Lee J, et al. Long-term experience with World Health Organization grade III (malignant) meningiomas at a single institution. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2009; **74**(2): 427-32.
81. Jenkinson MD, Javadpour M, Haylock BJ, et al. The ROAM/EORTC-1308 trial: Radiation versus Observation following surgical resection of Atypical Meningioma: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2015; **16**: 519.
82. Song CW, Kim MS, Cho LC, Dusenbery K, Sperduto PW. Radiobiological basis of SBRT and SRS. *International journal of clinical oncology* 2014; **19**(4): 570-8.
83. Rogers L, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Meningiomas: knowledge base, treatment outcomes, and uncertainties. A RANO review. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2015; **122**(1): 4-23.
84. dos Santos MA, de Salcedo JB, Gutierrez Diaz JA, et al. Long-term outcomes of stereotactic radiosurgery for treatment of cavernous sinus meningiomas. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics* 2011; **81**(5): 1436-41.
85. Colombo F, Casentini L, Cavedon C, Scalchi P, Cora S, Francescon P. Cyberknife radiosurgery for benign meningiomas: short-term results in 199 patients. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **64**(2 Suppl): A7-13.
86. Tuniz F, Soltys SG, Choi CY, et al. Multisession cyberknife stereotactic radiosurgery of large, benign cranial base tumors: preliminary study. *Neurosurgery* 2009; **65**(5): 898-907; discussion 87.
87. Jung HW, Yoo H, Paek SH, Choi KS. Long-term outcome and growth rate of subtotally resected petroclival meningiomas: experience with 38 cases. *Neurosurgery* 2000; **46**(3): 567-74; discussion 74-5.
88. Kita Sallabanda MADS, Jose B P Salcedo, Jose A G Diaz,, Felipe A Calvo JS, Hugo Marsiglia. Stereotactic radiosurgery as a salvage treatment option for atypical meningiomas previously submitted to surgical resection. *J Radiosurgery BRT* 2011: 1-7.
89. Samblas J, Luis Lopez Guerra J, Bustos J, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery in patients with multiple intracranial meningiomas. *Journal of BUON : official journal of the Balkan Union of Oncology* 2014; **19**(1): 250-5.
90. Bloch O, Kaur G, Jian BJ, Parsa AT, Barani IJ. Stereotactic radiosurgery for benign meningiomas. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2012; **107**(1): 13-20.
91. Mantle RE, Lach B, Delgado MR, Baeesa S, Belanger G. Predicting the probability of meningioma recurrence based on the quantity of peritumoral brain edema on computerized tomography scanning. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1999; **91**(3): 375-83.
92. Kaur G, Sayegh ET, Larson A, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy for atypical and malignant meningiomas: a systematic review. *Neuro-oncology* 2014; **16**(5): 628-36.
93. Farzin M, Molls M, Kampf S, et al. Optic toxicity in radiation treatment of meningioma: a retrospective study in 213 patients. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2016; **127**(3): 597-606.
94. Bartolomei M, Bodei L, De Cicco C, et al. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with (90)Y-DOTATOC in recurrent meningioma. *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging* 2009; **36**(9): 1407-16.
95. Kreissl MC, Hanscheid H, Lohr M, et al. Combination of peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with fractionated external beam radiotherapy for treatment of advanced symptomatic meningioma. *Radiation oncology (London, England)* 2012; **7**: 99.
96. Makis W, McCann K, McEwan AJ. Rhabdoid papillary meningioma treated with 177Lu DOTATATE PRRT. *Clinical nuclear medicine* 2015; **40**(3): 237-40.
97. Minutoli F, Amato E, Sindoni A, et al. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy in patients with inoperable meningiomas: our experience and review of the literature. *Cancer biotherapy & radiopharmaceuticals* 2014; **29**(5): 193-9.
98. Seystahl K, Stoecklein V, Schuller U, et al. Somatostatin-receptor-targeted radionuclide therapy for progressive meningioma: benefit linked to 68Ga-DOTATATE/-TOC uptake. *Neuro-oncology* 2016.

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)



99. Bendszus M, Rao G, Burger R, et al. Is there a benefit of preoperative meningioma embolization? *Neurosurgery* 2000; **47**(6): 1306-11; discussion 11-2.
100. Dean BL, Flom RA, Wallace RC, et al. Efficacy of endovascular treatment of meningiomas: evaluation with matched samples. *AJNR American journal of neuroradiology* 1994; **15**(9): 1675-80.
101. Macpherson P. The value of pre-operative embolisation of meningioma estimated subjectively and objectively. *Neuroradiology* 1991; **33**(4): 334-7.
102. Sakamoto N, Ishikawa E, Nakai Y, et al. Preoperative endovascular embolization for hemangioblastoma in the posterior fossa. *Neurologia medico-chirurgica* 2012; **52**(12): 878-84.
103. Carli DF, Sluzewski M, Beute GN, van Rooij WJ. Complications of particle embolization of meningiomas: frequency, risk factors, and outcome. *AJNR American journal of neuroradiology* 2010; **31**(1): 152-4.
104. Shah A, Choudhri O, Jung H, Li G. Preoperative endovascular embolization of meningiomas: update on therapeutic options. *Neurosurgical focus* 2015; **38**(3): E7.
105. Sluzewski M, van Rooij WJ, Lohle PN, Beute GN, Peluso JP. Embolization of meningiomas: comparison of safety between calibrated microspheres and polyvinyl-alcohol particles as embolic agents. *AJNR American journal of neuroradiology* 2013; **34**(4): 727-9.
106. Sawlani V, Browing S, Sawhney IM, Redfern R. Posterior circulation stroke following embolization of glomus tympanicum? relevance of anatomy and anastomoses of ascending pharyngeal artery. A case report. *Interventional neuroradiology : journal of peritherapeutic neuroradiology, surgical procedures and related neurosciences* 2009; **15**(2): 229-36.
107. Furtner J, Schopf V, Seystahl K, et al. Kinetics of tumor size and peritumoral brain edema before, during, and after systemic therapy in recurrent WHO grade II or III meningioma. *Neuro-oncology* 2015.
108. Kaley T, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Historical benchmarks for medical therapy trials in surgery- and radiation-refractory meningioma: a RANO review. *Neuro-oncology* 2014; **16**(6): 829-40.
109. Norden AD, Ligon KL, Hammond SN, et al. Phase II study of monthly pasireotide LAR (SOM230C) for recurrent or progressive meningioma. *Neurology* 2015; **84**(3): 280-6.
110. Ji Y, Rankin C, Grunberg S, et al. Double-Blind Phase III Randomized Trial of the Antiprogestin Agent Mifepristone in the Treatment of Unresectable Meningioma: SWOG S9005. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 2015; **33**(34): 4093-8.
111. Chamberlain MC, Tsao-Wei DD, Groshen S. Temozolomide for treatment-resistant recurrent meningioma. *Neurology* 2004; **62**(7): 1210-2.
112. Kaley TJ, Wen P, Schiff D, et al. Phase II trial of sunitinib for recurrent and progressive atypical and anaplastic meningioma. *Neuro-oncology* 2015; **17**(1): 116-21.
113. Lou E, Sumrall AL, Turner S, et al. Bevacizumab therapy for adults with recurrent/progressive meningioma: a retrospective series. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2012; **109**(1): 63-70.
114. Nayak L, Iwamoto FM, Rudnick JD, et al. Atypical and anaplastic meningiomas treated with bevacizumab. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2012; **109**(1): 187-93.
115. Preusser M, Spiegl-Kreinecker S, Lotsch D, et al. Trabectedin has promising antineoplastic activity in high-grade meningioma. *Cancer* 2012; **118**(20): 5038-49.
116. Barbaro NM, Gutin PH, Wilson CB, Sheline GE, Boldrey EB, Wara WM. Radiation therapy in the treatment of partially resected meningiomas. *Neurosurgery* 1987; **20**(4): 525-8.
117. Mirimanoff RO, Dosoretz DE, Linggood RM, Ojemann RG, Martuza RL. Meningioma: analysis of recurrence and progression following neurosurgical resection. *Journal of neurosurgery* 1985; **62**(1): 18-24.
118. Sughrue ME, Sanai N, Shangari G, Parsa AT, Berger MS, McDermott MW. Outcome and survival following primary and repeat surgery for World Health Organization Grade III meningiomas. *Journal of neurosurgery* 2010; **113**(2): 202-9.

Formatiert: Deutsch (Deutschland)